

EXHIBIT 17



US010044473B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Tzannes

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,044,473 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Aug. 7, 2018**

(54) **PACKET RETRANSMISSION AND MEMORY SHARING**

(71) Applicant: **TQ DELTA, LLC**, Austin, TX (US)

(72) Inventor: **Marcos C. Tzannes**, Alamo, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **TQ DELTA, LLC**, Austin, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/298,526**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 20, 2016**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2017/0041224 A1 Feb. 9, 2017

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/159,125, filed on Jan. 20, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,485,055, which is a (Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04L 1/18 (2006.01)
H04L 12/701 (2013.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 1/18** (2013.01); **H03M 13/00** (2013.01); **H03M 13/09** (2013.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC ... H04L 1/1809; H04L 1/1812; H04L 1/1887;
H04L 1/1819; H04L 2001/0093;
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,439,859 A * 3/1984 Donnan H04L 1/1621
714/746
4,564,900 A 1/1986 Smitt
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1350297 A 5/2002
CN 1352862 A 6/2002
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 15/372,841, filed Dec. 8, 2016, Tzannes et al.
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Albert Decady


Assistant Examiner — Osman M Alshack

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Jason H. Vick; Sheridan Ross, PC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A system that includes a multicarrier transceiver including a processor and memory. The system transmitting a packet using a forward error correction encoder and an interleaver, wherein the packet comprises a header field and a plurality of bytes, and wherein the header field comprises a sequence identifier (SID) and receiving at least one message using a forward error correction decoder and without using a deinterleaver, wherein the at least one message is received in a single DMT symbol and wherein the at least one message includes an acknowledgement (ACK) or a negative acknowledgement (NACK) of the transmitted packet. An SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than an SNR margin of the packet.

28 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets



US 10,044,473 B2

Page 2

Related U.S. Application Data									
	continuation of application No. 13/766,059, filed on Feb. 13, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,645,784, which is a continuation of application No. 12/783,758, filed on May 20, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,407,546, which is a continuation of application No. 12/295,828, filed as application No. PCT/US2007/066522 on Apr. 12, 2007, now Pat. No. 8,335,956.	5,726,644	A *	3/1998	Jednacz	H04L 1/1867			
						315/294			
		5,737,337	A	4/1998	Voith et al.				
		5,745,275	A	4/1998	Giles et al.				
		5,751,338	A	5/1998	Ludwig, Jr.				
		5,751,741	A	5/1998	Voith et al.				
		5,757,416	A	5/1998	Birch et al.				
		5,764,649	A	6/1998	Tong				
		5,764,693	A	6/1998	Taylor et al.				
		5,793,759	A	8/1998	Rakib et al.				
		5,835,527	A	11/1998	Lomp				
		5,867,400	A	2/1999	El-Ghoroury et al.				
		5,898,698	A	4/1999	Bross				
		5,903,612	A	5/1999	Van Der Puttent et al.				
		5,905,874	A	5/1999	Johnson				
		5,912,898	A	6/1999	Khoury				
		5,917,340	A	6/1999	Manohar et al.				
		5,968,200	A	10/1999	Amrany				
		5,983,382	A	11/1999	Pauls				
		5,991,857	A	11/1999	Koetje et al.				
		5,995,539	A	11/1999	Miller				
		6,005,851	A	12/1999	Craddock et al.				
		6,041,057	A	3/2000	Stone				
		6,081,291	A	6/2000	Ludwig, Jr.				
		6,098,188	A	8/2000	Kalmanek, Jr. et al.				
		6,151,690	A	11/2000	Peeters				
		6,212,166	B1 *	4/2001	Akiyama	H04B 7/1858			
						370/236			
		6,226,322	B1	5/2001	Mukherjee				
		6,266,337	B1	6/2001	Marco				
		6,308,278	B1	10/2001	Khouli et al.				
		6,337,877	B1	1/2002	Cole et al.				
		6,381,728	B1	4/2002	Kang				
		6,392,572	B1	5/2002	Shiu et al.				
		6,421,323	B1	7/2002	Nelson et al.				
		6,473,418	B1	10/2002	Laroia et al.				
		6,480,976	B1	11/2002	Pan et al.				
		6,484,283	B2	11/2002	Stephen et al.				
		6,496,481	B1	12/2002	Wu et al.				
		6,498,806	B1	12/2002	Davis				
		6,519,731	B1	2/2003	Huang et al.				
		6,542,500	B1	4/2003	Gerszberg et al.				
		6,553,534	B2	4/2003	Yong, III et al.				
		6,578,162	B1	6/2003	Yung				
		6,640,239	B1	10/2003	Gidwani				
		6,657,949	B1	12/2003	Jones, IV et al.				
		6,704,848	B2	3/2004	Song				
		6,707,822	B1	3/2004	Fadavi-Ardekani et al.				
		6,738,370	B2	5/2004	Ostman				
		6,754,188	B1	6/2004	Garahi et al.				
		6,754,290	B1	6/2004	Halter				
		6,765,957	B2 *	7/2004	Palm	H04L 1/0001			
						375/222			
		6,775,320	B1	8/2004	Tzannes et al.				
		6,778,589	B1	8/2004	Ishii				
		6,778,596	B1	8/2004	Tzannes				
		6,801,570	B2	10/2004	Yong				
		6,826,589	B2	11/2004	Berrada				
		6,865,233	B1	3/2005	Eriksson et al.				
		6,885,696	B2	4/2005	Wingrove				
		6,904,537	B1	6/2005	Gorman				
		6,922,444	B1	7/2005	Cai et al.				
		6,956,872	B1	10/2005	Djokovic et al.				
		6,988,234	B2	1/2006	Han				
		7,024,592	B1	4/2006	Voas et al.				
		7,027,782	B2	4/2006	Moon et al.				
		7,031,259	B1	4/2006	Guttman et al.				
		7,042,891	B2	5/2006	Oberman et al.				
		7,050,552	B2	5/2006	Comisky				
		7,058,085	B2	6/2006	Earnshaw et al.				
		7,103,096	B2	9/2006	Mitlin et al.				
		7,164,654	B2	1/2007	Hunzinger et al.				
		7,174,493	B2	2/2007	Matsumoto et al.				
		7,187,708	B1	3/2007	Shiu et al.				
		7,200,138	B2	4/2007	Liu				
		7,200,169	B2	4/2007	Suzuki et al.				
		7,200,792	B2	4/2007	Kim et al.				
		7,203,206	B2	4/2007	Amidan et al.				
		7,224,702	B2	5/2007	Lee				
(60)	Provisional application No. 60/849,650, filed on Oct. 5, 2006, provisional application No. 60/792,236, filed on Apr. 12, 2006.								
(51)	Int. Cl.								
	H03M 13/09 (2006.01)								
	H03M 13/00 (2006.01)								
	H03M 13/27 (2006.01)								
	H04L 12/54 (2013.01)								
	H04L 12/801 (2013.01)								
	H04L 12/851 (2013.01)								
	H04L 12/823 (2013.01)								
	H04L 12/721 (2013.01)								
	H04L 29/08 (2006.01)								
	H04L 1/00 (2006.01)								
	H04L 1/08 (2006.01)								
	H04L 1/16 (2006.01)								
	H04L 12/939 (2013.01)								
	H04L 12/70 (2013.01)								
(52)	U.S. Cl.								
	CPC H03M 13/091 (2013.01); H03M 13/2707 (2013.01); H03M 13/6513 (2013.01); H04L 1/0041 (2013.01); H04L 1/0045 (2013.01); H04L 1/0057 (2013.01); H04L 1/08 (2013.01); H04L 1/1607 (2013.01); H04L 1/1809 (2013.01); H04L 1/1835 (2013.01); H04L 1/1874 (2013.01); H04L 12/5601 (2013.01); H04L 45/00 (2013.01); H04L 45/72 (2013.01); H04L 47/10 (2013.01); H04L 47/2433 (2013.01); H04L 47/2441 (2013.01); H04L 47/32 (2013.01); H04L 49/552 (2013.01); H04L 69/324 (2013.01); H04L 2012/5647 (2013.01)								
(58)	Field of Classification Search								
	CPC H04L 47/10; H04L 1/0041; H04L 1/0045; H04L 1/0057; H04L 1/08; H03M 13/27								
	USPC 714/748, 749, 752, 776								
	See application file for complete search history.								
(56)	References Cited								
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS								
	4,914,653 A * 4/1990 Bishop G06F 9/546 370/462								
	4,979,174 A 12/1990 Cheng et al.								
	5,063,533 A 11/1991 Erhart et al.								
	5,214,501 A 5/1993 Cavallerano et al.								
	5,287,384 A 2/1994 Avery et al.								
	5,319,648 A * 6/1994 Bux H04L 1/0083 714/748								
	5,351,016 A 9/1994 Dent								
	5,420,640 A 5/1995 Munich et al.								
	5,422,913 A 6/1995 Wilkinson								
	5,524,116 A 6/1996 Kalrnanek, Jr. et al.								
	5,563,915 A 10/1996 Stewart								
	5,596,604 A 1/1997 Ciotti et al.								
	5,635,864 A 6/1997 Jones								
	5,663,910 A 9/1997 Ko et al.								
	5,675,585 A 10/1997 Bonnot et al.								

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 3

(56)	References Cited			2006/0089833	A1	4/2006	Su et al.	
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			2006/0092871	A1	5/2006	Nishibayashi et al.	
				2006/0098662	A1 *	5/2006	Gupta	H04L 1/0041 370/401
	7,266,132	B1	9/2007	Liu et al.				
	7,269,208	B2	9/2007	Mazzoni et al.				
	7,272,768	B2	9/2007	Chang et al.				
	7,302,379	B2	11/2007	Cioffi et al.				
	7,400,688	B2	7/2008	Garrett				
	7,483,421	B2	1/2009	Compton				
	7,519,124	B2	4/2009	Oksman et al.				
	7,600,172	B2	10/2009	Berens et al.				
	7,657,818	B2	2/2010	Cioffi et al.				
	7,668,101	B1	2/2010	Raissinia et al.				
	7,764,595	B2	7/2010	Treigherman				
	7,782,758	B2	8/2010	Wydrowski et al.				
	7,826,438	B1	11/2010	Salhotra et al.				
	7,831,890	B2	11/2010	Tzannes et al.				
	7,836,381	B1	11/2010	Tzannes et al.				
	7,844,882	B2	11/2010	Tzannes et al.				
	7,856,033	B2	12/2010	Oksman et al.				
	7,933,295	B2	4/2011	Thi et al.				
	8,031,760	B2	10/2011	Peeters et al.				
	8,074,138	B2	12/2011	Chae et al.				
	8,149,904	B2	4/2012	Efland et al.				
	8,276,048	B2	9/2012	Tzannes et al.				
	8,335,956	B2	12/2012	Tzannes				
	8,407,546	B2	3/2013	Tzannes				
	8,468,411	B2	6/2013	Tzannes				
	8,495,473	B2	7/2013	Tzannes et al.				
	8,595,577	B2	11/2013	Tzannes				
	8,607,126	B1	12/2013	Tzannes et al.				
	8,645,784	B2	2/2014	Tzannes				
	8,775,890	B2	7/2014	Yap et al.				
	9,069,718	B2	6/2015	Tzannes et al.				
	9,094,348	B2	7/2015	Tzannes				
	9,286,251	B2	3/2016	Tzannes et al.				
	9,485,055	B2	11/2016	Tzannes				
	2001/0014962	A1	8/2001	Obuchi et al.				
	2001/0031011	A1	10/2001	Betts				
	2001/0039637	A1	11/2001	Bengough				
	2002/0015401	A1	2/2002	Subramanian et al.				
	2002/0048365	A1	4/2002	Namiki et al.				
	2002/0087710	A1	7/2002	Aiken et al.				
	2002/0126675	A1 *	9/2002	Yoshimura				H04L 47/10 370/395.21
	2002/0154600	A1	10/2002	Ido et al.				
	2003/0005387	A1 *	1/2003	Tsunoda				H03M 13/00 714/785
	2003/0008821	A1	1/2003	Detmar et al.				
	2003/0009717	A1	1/2003	Fukushima et al.				
	2003/0014709	A1	1/2003	Miyoshi et al.				
	2003/0053435	A1	3/2003	Sindhushayana et al.				
	2003/0067877	A1	4/2003	Sivakumar et al.				
	2003/0076870	A1	4/2003	Moon et al.				
	2003/0088821	A1	5/2003	Yokokawa et al.				
	2003/0093750	A1	5/2003	Cameron				
	2003/0097629	A1	5/2003	Moon et al.				
	2003/0131209	A1	7/2003	Lee				
	2003/0179770	A1	9/2003	Reznicek et al.				
	2004/0013195	A1	1/2004	Panusopone et al.				
	2004/0109455	A1	6/2004	Jouppi et al.				
	2004/0114536	A1	6/2004	O'Rourke				
	2004/0120435	A1	6/2004	Yang et al.				
	2004/0148552	A1	7/2004	Matsumoto et al.				
	2004/0174938	A1	9/2004	Kim				
	2004/0179494	A1	9/2004	Attar et al.				
	2004/0196786	A1	10/2004	Laha et al.				
	2004/0203455	A1	10/2004	Bao et al.				
	2005/0034046	A1	2/2005	Berkmann et al.				
	2005/0036497	A1 *	2/2005	Kawakami				H04L 1/1838 370/395.21
	2005/0068916	A1	3/2005	Jacobsen et al.				
	2005/0079889	A1	4/2005	Vaglica et al.				
	2005/0180323	A1	8/2005	Beightol et al.				
	2005/0204251	A1	9/2005	Moon et al.				
	2005/0216812	A1	9/2005	Leon et al.				
	2005/0254441	A1	11/2005	Levi et al.				
	2005/0254508	A1	11/2005	Aksu et al.				
				2006/0236045	A1	10/2006	Keyes, Jr.	
				2006/0242153	A1 *	10/2006	Newberry	H04L 12/18
				2006/0259846	A1	11/2006	Bhushan	
				2007/0198898	A1	8/2007	Ysebaert et al.	
				2007/0206621	A1 *	9/2007	Plamondon	H04L 1/1887 370/413
				2007/0263528	A1	11/2007	Mukherjee	
				2008/0077837	A1	3/2008	Lohr et al.	
				2008/0175186	A1 *	7/2008	Liu	H04L 1/1607 370/320
				2008/0212582	A1	9/2008	Zwart et al.	
				2009/0013232	A1	1/2009	Wan et al.	
				2009/0217120	A1	8/2009	Sawahashi et al.	
				2009/0319854	A1	12/2009	Qian et al.	
				2009/0327829	A1 *	12/2009	Yang	H04L 1/1829 714/749
				2010/0061376	A1	3/2010	Shimizu	
				2015/0312001	A1	10/2015	Tzannes	
				2016/0179389	A1	6/2016	Tzannes et al.	
				2017/0373971	A1	12/2017	Tzannes	
				FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS				
				CN	1527487	A	9/2004	
				EP	0833474	A2	4/1998	
				EP	1006689		6/2000	
				EP	1041756		10/2000	
				EP	1225735		7/2002	
				EP	1246409		10/2002	
				EP	1271833		1/2003	
				EP	1361690		11/2003	
				EP	1385292		1/2004	
				EP	1507353		2/2005	
				EP	1367809		1/2006	
				GB	2389493		12/2003	
				JP	06-164648		6/1994	
				JP	07-254862		10/1995	
				JP	Hei09-247048		9/1997	
				JP	Hei11-150764		6/1999	
				JP	Hei11-355254	A	12/1999	
				JP	2000-341247		12/2000	
				JP	2001-119437		4/2001	
				JP	2002-084338		3/2002	
				JP	2002-118527		4/2002	
				JP	2003-008553		1/2003	
				JP	2003-509966		3/2003	
				JP	2003-224615		8/2003	
				JP	2004-007269		1/2004	
				JP	2004-007823		1/2004	
				JP	2004-030506		1/2004	
				JP	2004-056221		2/2004	
				JP	2004-135013		4/2004	
				JP	2005-064594		3/2005	
				JP	2005-191735		7/2005	
				JP	2005-522963		7/2005	
				JP	2005-526422		9/2005	
				KR	2000-0016939		3/2000	
				KR	10-2000-0047827		7/2000	
				KR	10-0295086	B1	4/2001	
				KR	2001-0077300		8/2001	
				KR	10-2004-0009928		1/2004	
				KR	10-2004-0014977		2/2004	
				WO	WO 98/47238		10/1998	
				WO	WO 00/41395		7/2000	
				WO	WO 00/42789		7/2000	
				WO	WO 00/52834		9/2000	
				WO	WO 01/11833		2/2001	
				WO	WO 01/20865		3/2001	
				WO	WO 03/003747		1/2003	
				WO	WO 03/028296		4/2003	
				WO	WO 03/063060		7/2003	
				WO	WO 03/090011		10/2003	
				WO	WO 2006/044227		4/2006	

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 4

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 60/078,549, filed Mar. 19, 1998, Jacobsen et al.

Aramvith, Supavadee et al. "Wireless Video Transport Using Conditional Retransmission and Low-Delay Interleaving" IEEE 2001 (4 pages).

Bauer, Rainer et al. "Iterative Source/Channel-Decoding Using Reversible Variable Length Codes" Munich University of Technology, 2000 (10 pages).

Business Wire "New FatPipe T1 Speed Product Produces Speeds up to 4.5Mbps and Redundancy for a Fraction of the Cost of a Fractional T3!" Business Wire, Oct. 16, 1998 (2 pages).

Buzzard, Greg et al., "An Implementation of the Hamlyn Sender-Managed Interface Architecture" The Second Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI '96) Proceedings (Seattle, WA), Oct. 28-31, 1996 (15 pages).

Cisco Systems, Inc. "Alternatives for High Bandwidth Connections Using Parallel T1/E1 Links" 1998 (8 pages).

Eberle, Wolfgang et al. "80-Mb/s QPSK and 72-Mb/s 64-QAM Flexible and Scalable Digital OFDM Transceiver ASICs for Wireless Local Area Networks in the 5-GHz Band" IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 36, No. 11, Nov. 2001 (10 pages).

Goodman, David et al. "Maximizing the Throughput to CDMA Data Communications" Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY, Oct. 2003 (5 pages).

"ITU-T Recommendation G.992.1, Series G: Transmission Systems and Media, Digital Systems and Networks" Jun. 1999 (256 pages).

"ITU-T Recommendation G.992.3," International Telecommunication Union, ADSL2, Jan. 2005, 436 pages.

ITU-T Recommendation G.992.3, "Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line Transceivers 2 (ADSL2)" International Telecommunication Union, Apr. 2009, 404 pages.

ITU-T Recommendation G.992.3 Annex C, "Annex C: Specific Requirements for an ADSL System Operating in the Same Cable as ISDN as Defined in Appendix III of Recommendation ITU-T G.961" International Telecommunication Union, Apr. 2009, 296 pages.

"ITU-T Recommendation G.992.5—Series G: Transmission Systems and Media, Digital Systems and Networks", International Telecommunication Union, ADSL2, May 2003, 92 pages.

ITU-T Recommendation G.993.1 "Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line Transceivers" Jun. 2004 (228 pages).

ITU-T SG15/Q4 Contribution LB-031 "VDSL2—Constraining the Interleaver Complexity" Texas Instruments, Inc. Jun. 2004 (7 pages).

Johns, David A., et al. "Integrated Circuits for Data Transmission Over Twisted-Pair Channels" IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 32, Nov. 3, Mar. 1997 (9 pages).

Petzold, Mark C. et al. "Multicarrier Spread Spectrum Performance in Fading Channels with Serial Concatenated Convolutional Coding" IEEE 1998 (4 pages).

Shoji, T. et al: "Wireless Access Method to Ensure Each Users QOS in Unpredictable and Various QOS Requirements Wireless Personal Communications," Springer, Dordrecht, NL, vol. 22, No. 2, Aug. 2002, pp. 139-151.

Sklower, K. et al. "The PPP Multilink Protocol (MP)" Network Working Group, Nov. 1994 (15 pages).

"Sunset xDSL: Prequalification of ADSL Circuits with ATU-C Emulation," Sunrise Telecom Inc., Application Series, 2001, San Jose, USA, p. 3, available at <http://www.sunrisetelecom.com/technotes/APP-xDSL-8B.pdf>.

"VDSL2 ITU-T Recommendation G.993.2," International Telecommunication Union, Feb. 2006, 252 pages.

Wolman, Alec et al. "Latency Analysis of TCP on an ATM Network" University of Washington, Printed Sep. 19, 2014 (14 pages).

Yamada, Hitoshi et al. "QoS Control by Traffic Engineering in Content Delivery Networks" Fujitsu Science and Technology Journal, Dec. 2003 (11 pages).

International Search Report for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2005/036015, dated Feb. 8, 2006.

Written Opinion for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2005/036015, dated Feb. 8, 2006.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2005/036015, dated Apr. 26, 2007.

Examiner's First Report for Australian Patent Application No. 2005296086, dated Jun. 24, 2009.

Examiner's First Report for Australian Patent Application No. 2011201250 dated May 13, 2013.

Notice of Acceptance for Australian Patent Application No. 2015200618 dated Jul. 15, 2015.

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,580,280, dated Sep. 14, 2012.

Notice of Allowance for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,580,280, dated Aug. 5, 2013.

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,869,452, dated Dec. 15, 2014.

Notice of Allowance for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,869,452, dated Apr. 20, 2015.

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,909,150, dated Aug. 10, 2016.

Notification of the First Office Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200580032703, dated Sep. 25, 2009.

Official Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200580032703, dispatched May 18, 2011.

Official Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200580032703.1, dispatched Mar. 28, 2012.

Decision of Refusal (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200580032703.1, dispatched Sep. 5, 2012.

Notification of Reexamination (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200580032703.1, dispatched Oct. 29, 2014.

Reexamination Decision (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200580032703.1, dispatched Apr. 14, 2015.

Official Action for European Application No. 05807443.6, dated Mar. 6, 2013.

Official Action for European Application No. 05807443.6, dated Dec. 8, 2015.

First Examination Report for Indian Patent Application No. 208/KOLNP/2007, dated Mar. 18, 2013.

Official Action (translation only) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2007-7008270, dated Jun. 30, 2011.

Notice of Allowance (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2007-7008270, dated Mar. 29, 2012.

Official Action (translation only) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2010-7022463, dated Jun. 30, 2011.

Notice of Allowance (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2010-7022463, dated Mar. 29, 2012.

Official Action (including translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2007-535818, dispatched Jul. 11, 2011.

Notice of Allowance (Including Translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2007-535818, dispatched Dec. 12, 2011.

Official Action (including translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2008-264540, dispatched Jul. 11, 2011.

Official Action (including translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2008-264540, dispatched Dec. 12, 2011.

Notice of Allowance for Japanese Patent Application No. 2008-264540, dated Mar. 26, 2012.

Invitation to Pay Additional Fees (including partial international search report) for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2007/066522, dated Feb. 6, 2008.

International Search Report for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2007/066522, dated Apr. 14, 2008.

Written Opinion for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2007/066522, dated Apr. 14, 2008.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability for International (PCT) Patent Application No. PCT/US2007/066522, dated Oct. 23, 2008.

Examiner's First Report on Australian Patent Application No. 2007257055, dated Mar. 30, 2010.

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 5

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,647,589, dated Dec. 16, 2013.

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,647,589, dated Feb. 26, 2015.

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,647,589, dated Feb. 25, 2016.

Official Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200780012891.0, dispatched Mar. 16, 2011.

Notification of the Second Office Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200780012891.0, dispatched Mar. 7, 2012.

Notification of the Second Office Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 200780012891.0, dispatched Dec. 12, 2012.

Official Action for Columbian Patent Application No. 08-109-377, dated Nov. 5, 2010.

Examination Report for European Patent Application No. 07811844.5, dated Apr. 1, 2009.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 07811844.5, dated Jul. 9, 2010.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 07811844.5, dated Dec. 21, 2010.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 07811844.5, dated Dec. 18, 2012.

Communication Under Rule 71(3) EPC—Intention to Grant for European Application No. 07811844.5, dated May 9, 2016.

European Search Report and Opinion for European Patent Application No. 10000017.3, dated Mar. 17, 2010.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 10000017.3, dated Nov. 3, 2010.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 10000017.3, dated Nov. 20, 2013.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 10000017.3, dated Oct. 20, 2015.

Intention to Grant for European Patent Application No. 10000017.3, dated Sep. 16, 2016.

European Search Report and Opinion for European Patent Application No. 10000016.5, dated Mar. 3, 2010.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 10000016.5, dated Nov. 3, 2010.

Official Action for European Patent Application No. 10000016.5, dated Dec. 22, 2011.

Communication Under Rule 71(3) EPC—Intention to Grant for European Patent Application No. 10000016.5, dated Dec. 18, 2012.

Decision to Grant a European Patent Pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC for European Patent Application No. 10000016.5, dated May 31, 2013.

Official Action for Japanese Patent Application No. 2009-505623, dispatched Apr. 4, 2011.

Official Action (including translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2009-505623, dispatched Oct. 31, 2011.

Official Action for Japanese Patent Application No. 2010-017356, dispatched Apr. 18, 2011.

Official Action (including translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2010-017356, dispatched Aug. 29, 2011.

Decision of Final Rejection (Including Translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2010017356, dispatched Apr. 23, 2012.

Official Action for Japanese Patent Application No. 2012-042978, dispatched Jun. 3, 2013.

Notice of Allowance for Japanese Patent Application No. 2012-042978, dispatched Feb. 17, 2014.

Official Action for Japanese Patent Application No. 2013-246257 dispatched Jan. 26, 2015.

Official Action (Including Translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2013-246257 dispatched Nov. 16, 2015.

Notice of Allowance (Including Translation) for Japanese Patent Application No. 2013-246257 dispatched May 30, 2016.

Official Action for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2008-7024792, dated Aug. 29, 2013.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2008-7024792 dated Feb. 23, 2015.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2008-7024792 dated Dec. 14, 2015.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2008-7024792 dated Jul. 26, 2016.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2014-7005299 dated Apr. 4, 2014.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2014-7005299 dated Feb. 23, 2015.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2014-7005299 dated Dec. 14, 2015.

Official Action (including translation) for Mexican Patent Application No. MX/a/2008/012505, dated Apr. 22, 2010.

Official Action (including translation) for Mexican Patent Application No. MX/a/2008/012505, dated Aug. 9, 2011.

Official Notification of Intent to Grant (including translation) for Mexican Patent Application No. MX/a/2008/012505, dated Apr. 3, 2012.

Official Action (including translation) for Mexican Patent Application No. MX/a/2011/005751, dated Jun. 6, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 11/246,163, dated Feb. 24, 2009.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 11/246,163, dated Dec. 9, 2009.

Notice of Allowability for U.S. Appl. No. 11/246,163, dated Sep. 7, 2010.

Notice of Allowability for U.S. Appl. No. 12/761,586, dated Oct. 6, 2010.

Notice of Allowability for U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,020, dated Oct. 6, 2010.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/901,699, dated Jan. 6, 2012.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 12/901,699, dated Jul. 27, 2012.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 13/567,261, dated Sep. 28, 2012.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 13/567,261, dated May 21, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 13/942,938, dated Sep. 25, 2013.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 13/942,938, dated Oct. 8, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/081,469 dated Dec. 17, 2014.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 14/081,469, dated Apr. 3, 2015.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/730,874 dated Jun. 30, 2015.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 14/730,874 dated Jan. 7, 2016.

Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 15/046,821 dated Mar. 24, 2016.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 15/046,821 dated Sep. 23, 2016.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/295,828, dated Jan. 5, 2012.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 12/295,828, dated Aug. 17, 2012.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 12/783,758, dated Dec. 26, 2012.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 13/766,059, dated Oct. 2, 2013.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 13/766,059, dated Nov. 25, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/159,125, dated Feb. 6, 2015.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/159,125, dated Jun. 16, 2015.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/159,125, dated Dec. 31, 2015.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 14/159,125, dated Aug. 1, 2016.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/760,728, dated Apr. 27, 2012.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/760,728, dated Jan. 2, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/760,728, dated Jun. 20, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/760,728, dated Oct. 2, 2013.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 12/760,728, dated Oct. 21, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/783,765, dated May 17, 2012.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 12/783,765, dated Dec. 17, 2012.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 12/783,765, dated May 9, 2013.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/075,194, dated Jan. 28, 2015.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 14/075,194, dated Apr. 8, 2015.

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 6

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Nov. 4, 2013-Oct. 19, 2015—(3,844 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Nov. 10, 2015-Jan. 5, 2016—(102 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jan. 20, 2016-Feb. 2, 2016—(104 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 9, 2016-Mar. 2, 2016—(228 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Aug. 31, 2015 and Mar. 17, 2016-Apr. 22, 2016—(194 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 25, 2016, mailed publically available on May 25, 2016 (40 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Apr. 27, 2016-May 24, 2016; (813 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Mar. 6, 2016—made publically available Jun. 1, 2016 (61 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 3, 2016-Jun. 6, 2016; (67 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Jun. 27, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Aug. 2-Sep. 14, 2016; (58 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Sep. 26, 2016; (19 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Oct. 26, 2016-Nov. 17, 2016; (37 pages).

Defendant 2WIRE, Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions with Regard to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 3 with Exhibits F-1 to F-9 and G-1 to G-25; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-01835-RGA; filed Sep. 24, 2015 (539 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Nov. 4, 2013-Nov. 30, 2015—(1722 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of

Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Dec. 16, 2015-Jan. 6, 2016—(193 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jan. 20, 2016-Feb. 8, 2016—(252 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 9, 2016-Mar. 2, 2016—(225 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes Documents Filed From Mar. 17, 2016-Apr. 22, 2016;(152 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 25, 2016, made publically available May 25, 2016; (40 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Apr. 27, 2016-May 24, 2016; (813 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed on Mar. 3, 2016 made publically available Jun. 1, 2016; (61 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 3, 2016-Jun. 7, 2016; (138 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed on Jun. 27, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Aug. 2-Aug. 23, 2016; 217-219; (9 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed from Sep. 16, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed on Sep. 26, 2016; (19 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed Oct. 26, 2016-Nov. 17, 2016; (35 pages).

Defendant Zhone Technologies, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions with Regard to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 3 with Exhibits 43-79; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-01836-RGA; filed Sep. 25, 2015 (961 pages).

Defendant Zhone Technologies, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions with Regard to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 9 with Exhibits 138-150; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-01836-RGA; filed Sep. 25, 2015 (246 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 7

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Dec. 9, 2013-Nov. 30, 2015—(1996 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Dec. 16, 2015-Dec. 16, 2015—(48 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Jan. 20, 2016-Feb. 8, 2016—(349 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 9, 2016-Mar. 2, 2016; (223 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 17, 2016-Apr. 22, 2016; (152 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 25, 2016, made publically available May 25, 2016; (40 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Apr. 27, 2016-May 24, 2016; (809 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed on Mar. 3, 2016, made publically Jun. 1, 2016; (61 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 3, 2016-Jun. 13, 2016; (140 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 14, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed on Jun. 27, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Aug. 2, 2016-Sep. 1, 2016; (11 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed on Sep. 26, 2016; (19 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Oct. 26, 2016-Nov. 17, 2016; (35 pages).

Defendant Zyxel's Initial Invalidity Contentions with Respect to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Com-*

munications, Inc. and Zyxel Communications Corporation—Including Claim Charts for Family 3 with Exhibits C1-C36; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-02013-RGA; filed Sep. 25, 2015 (729 pages).

Defendant Zyxel's Initial Invalidity Contentions with Respect to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications, Inc. and Zyxel Communications Corporation*—Including Claim Charts for Family 9 with Exhibits J2-J13; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-2013-RGA; filed Sep. 25, 2015 (236 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jul. 17, 2014-Oct. 19, 2015—(2,489 pages). Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jan. 20, 2016-Feb. 8, 2016—(81 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 9, 2016-Mar. 1, 2016; (13 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 28, 2016-May 31, 2016; (8 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 8, 2016, made publically available Jun. 6, 2016—(60 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 7, 2016-Jun. 8, 2016; (73 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 15, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed on Jun. 27, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Aug. 2-Sep. 1, 2016; (11 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Nov. 4, 2016-Nov. 17, 2016; (11 pages).

Defendant Adtran, Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions with Regard to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 3 as Exhibits 3-1-3-28; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA and Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; filed Feb. 9, 2016 (643 pages).

Defendant Adtran, Inc.'s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions with Regard to Representative Asserted Claims for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 9 as Exhibits 9-1-9-23; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA and Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; filed Feb. 9, 2016 (406 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jul. 17, 2014-Mar. 1, 2016 (1,444 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 28, 2016-May 31, 2016; (8 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 8

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents on Mar. 8, 2016 made publically available Jun. 6, 2016; (60 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 7, 2016-Jun. 8, 2016; (73 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 15, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jun. 27, 2016; (2 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Aug. 2-Sep. 1, 2016; (11 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Nov. 4, 2016-Nov. 17, 2016; (11 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. vs. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the Northern District of Alabama (Northeastern); Civil Action No. 5:14-cv-01381-JEO; Includes documents filed from Jul. 17, 2014-Jan. 27, 2015—(568 pages).

Notice of Allowance for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,909,150, dated Mar. 28, 2017.

Examiner's Report for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,647,589, dated Feb. 10, 2017.

European Search Report for European Patent Application No. 17020026.5 dated Apr. 25, 2017.

Notice of Allowance (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2008-7024792 dated Feb. 17, 2017.

Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 15/372,841 dated Apr. 21, 2017.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 14/742,334, dated Mar. 24, 2017.

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed made available on Dec. 19, 2016; (36 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 6, 2017 to May 1, 2017; (124 pages).

Defendant 2WIRE, Inc.'s Final Invalidity Contentions in Response to TQ Delta's Dec. 8, 2016 Final Infringement Contentions Including Claim Charts for Family 3 with Exhibits F-1 to F-9 and G-1 to G-25; in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-01835-RGA; filed Jan. 23, 2017 (537 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed Feb. 8, 2017 to Apr. 26, 2017; (118 pages).

Defendant Zhone Technologies, Inc.'s Final Invalidity Contentions in Response to Plaintiff's Final Infringement Charts Regarding Non-Broadcom Products for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 3 with Exhibits 58-94; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-01836-RGA; filed Jan. 23, 2017 (945 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 8, 2017-May 1, 2017; (69 pages).

Defendant Zyxxel's Technologies, Inc.'s Final Invalidity Contentions in Response to Plaintiff's Dec. 8, 2016 Final Infringement Charts Regarding Non-Broadcom Products for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel*

Communications, Inc. and Zyxxel Communications Corporation—Including Claim Charts for Family 3 with Exhibits C1-C30 and C32-C36; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-02013-RGA; filed Jan. 23, 2017 (754 pages).

Defendant Zyxxel's Technologies, Inc.'s Final Invalidity Contentions in Response to Plaintiff's Dec. 8, 2016 Final Infringement Charts Regarding Non-Broadcom Products for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications, Inc. and Zyxxel Communications Corporation*—Including Claim Charts for Family 9 with Exhibits J8-J14; In the United States District Court for the District of Delaware; Civil Action No. 13-2013-RGA; filed Jan. 23, 2017 (225 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 8, 2017-May 1, 2017; (128 pages).

Defendant Adtran, Inc.'s Invalidity Contentions in Response to TQ Delta's Dec. 8, 2016 Final Infringement Contentions for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran, Inc.*—Including Claim Charts for Family 9 as Exhibits 9-1-9-23; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA and and Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; filed Jan. 23, 2017 (347 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 8, 2017-May 1, 2017; (118 pages).

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 14/742,334, dated Jun. 5, 2017.

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 10, 2017, made available on May 11, 2017; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from May 2, 2017 to Jul. 14, 2017; (1183 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 10, 2017, made available on May 11, 2017; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhone Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed May 2, 2017 to Jul. 14, 2017; (1080 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 10, 2017, made available on May 11, 2017; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from May 2, 2017-Jul. 14, 2017; (1080 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed on Feb. 10, 2017, made available on May 11, 2017; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from May 2, 2017-Jul. 14, 2017; (1087 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; includes documents filed on Feb. 10, 2017, made available on May 11, 2017; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 9

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from May 2, 2017-Jul. 14, 2017; (1083 pages). Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2017-7012757 dated Jun. 19, 2017.

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Sep. 18, 2016 and made available on Dec. 19, 2016; (36 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; includes documents filed From Dec. 9, 2016-Feb. 3, 2017; (688 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhong Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; includes documents filed Dec. 9, 2016-Feb. 3, 2017; (21 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Dec. 9, 2016-Feb. 3, 2017; (23 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Dec. 9, 2016-Feb. 3, 2017; (19 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Dec. 9, 2016-Feb. 3, 2017; (19 pages).

Intent to Grant for European Application No. 05807443.6, dated Sep. 22, 2017.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 15/678,870, dated Dec. 13, 2017.

U.S. Appl. No. 15/874,277, filed Jan. 18, 2018, Tzannes et al.

ITU-Telecommunication Standardization Sector; Study Group 15; Alcatel; "G.gen: A Hybrid PCTCM-ARQ Error Correction Scheme" Temporary Document HC-52; Huntsville, Canada; Aug. 2000 (6 pages).

First Office Action (including translation) for Chinese Patent Application No. 201510413116.2, dispatched Sep. 30, 2017.

European Search Report for European Application No. 18153945.3, dated May 3, 2018.

Notice of Allowance for Canadian Patent Application No. 2,647,589, dated Jan. 12, 2018.

European Search Report for European Patent Application No. 17020525.6 dated Feb. 22, 2018.

Official Action (including translation) for Korean Patent Application No. 10-2017-7036067 dated Jan. 26, 2018.

Notice of Allowance for U.S. Appl. No. 15/372,841 dated Dec. 20, 2017.

Office Action for U.S. Appl. No. 15/874,277 dated Mar. 28, 2018.

Official Action for U.S. Appl. No. 15/678,870, dated Apr. 12, 2018.

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; D.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jul. 24, 2017-Oct. 26, 2017; (3953 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed on Sep. 7, 2017 and made Publicly Available on Dec. 6, 2017; (156 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Oct. 27, 2017—Dec. 21, 2017; (1,411 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 1, 2018-Mar. 19, 2018; (1,482 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhong Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed Jul. 24, 2017-Oct. 25, 2017; (3668 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhong Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed Sep. 7, 2017, made Publicly Available on Dec. 6, 2017; (156 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhong Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed Oct. 30, 2017-Dec. 5, 2017; (862 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhong Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents filed Feb. 1, 2018-Mar. 6, 2018; (326 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Jul. 24, 2017-Oct. 25, 2017; (3670 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Sep. 7, 2017, made Publicly Available on Dec. 6, 2017; (156 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Oct. 27, 2017-Dec. 21, 2017; (1,843 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013- RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 1, 2018-Mar. 19, 2018; (4,366 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jul. 21, 2017-Oct. 26, 2017; (4038 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Sep. 7, 2017, made Publicly Available on Dec. 6, 2017; (157 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Oct. 27, 2017-Dec. 21, 2017; (1664 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 1, 2018-Mar. 19, 2018; (4,228 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Jul. 21, 2017-Oct. 26, 2017; (4150 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Sep. 7, 2017, made Publicly Available on Dec. 6, 2017; (157 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; includes documents filed from Oct. 27, 2017-Dec. 21, 2017; (1662 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Feb. 1, 2018-Mar. 19, 2018; (4,228 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware

US 10,044,473 B2

Page 10

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly Available on Mar. 26, 2018; Docket No. 452; (120 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly Available on Apr. 16, 2018; Docket No. 463; (145 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly Available on May 9, 2018; Docket No. 487; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. 2WIRE, Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01835-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 27, 2018-Jun. 11, 2018; Docket Nos. 505-530; (450 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zhong Technologies Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01836-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly Available on Mar. 26, 2018; Docket No. 378 (120 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on Mar. 26, 2018; Docket No. 435; (120 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on Apr. 16, 2018; Docket No. 454; (145 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on Apr. 17, 2018; Docket No. 454; (42 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*, U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on May 10, 2018; Docket No. 482; (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02013-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on May 14, 2018; Docket No. 485; (101 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Zyxel Communications Inc. et al.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-

02013-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 29, 2018-Jun. 8, 2018; Docket Nos. 503-534; (465 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents made publicly available on Mar. 26, 2018; Docket No. 291 (120 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents made publicly available on Apr. 16, 2018; Docket No. 310 (145 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on May 10, 2018; Docket No. 338 (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on May 14, 2018; Docket No. 342 (101 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *TQ Delta, LLC v. Adtran Inc.*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-00954-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 29, 2018-Jun. 7, 2018; Docket Nos. 360-419 (956 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on Mar. 26, 2018; Docket No. 292 (120 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on Apr. 16, 2018; Docket No. 313 (145 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on May 10, 2018; Docket No. 341 (52 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents made Publicly available on May 14, 2018; Docket No. 345 (101 pages).

Documents filed with District Court Proceedings for *Adtran Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC*; U.S. District Court, for the District of Delaware (Wilmington); Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00121-RGA; Includes documents filed from Mar. 29, 2018-Jun. 7, 2018; Docket Nos. 361-420 (956 pages).

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

U.S. Patent

Aug. 7, 2018

Sheet 4 of 4

US 10,044,473 B2




Fig. 5

US 10,044,473 B2

1

PACKET RETRANSMISSION AND MEMORY SHARING**RELATED APPLICATION DATA**

This application is a Continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/159,125, filed Jan. 20, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,485,055, which is a Continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/766,059, filed Feb. 13, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,645,784, which is a Continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/783,758, filed May 20, 2010, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,407,546, which is a Continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/295,828, filed Oct. 2, 2008, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,335,956, which is a national stage application under 35 U.S.C. 371 of PCT Application No. PCT/US2007/066522 having an international filing date of Apr. 12, 2007, which designated the United States, which PCT application claims the benefit of and priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to U.S. Patent Application Nos. 60/792,236, filed Apr. 12, 2006, entitled “xDSL Packet Retransmission Mechanism,” and 60/849,650, filed Oct. 5, 2006, entitled “xDSL Packet Retransmission Mechanism with Examples,” each of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

BACKGROUND**Field of the Invention**

This invention generally relates to communication systems. More specifically, an exemplary embodiment of this invention relates to retransmission of packets in a communication environment. An exemplary embodiment of this invention also relates to memory sharing between transmission functions and other transceiver functions.

SUMMARY

Exemplary aspects of the invention relate to handling of packets and the assignment of a packet handling identifier. Exemplary aspects relate to sharing of resources between retransmitted packets and other transceiver functions. In addition, exemplary aspects relate to sharing of resources between packets associated with the packet handling identifier and other transceiver functions.

More specifically, aspects of the invention relate to assigning a packet handling identifier to one or more packets. Based on the packet handling identifier, a packet can either be, for example, forwarded directly to another communication device (or layer) or, alternatively, held for possible retransmission protocols. For example, packets received from, for example, a higher-layer of a communication device, can be designated to have a specific packet handling identifier, such as a Quality of Service (QOS) level. The QOS level of a packet indicates the importance of certain service metrics (or characteristics) of one or more packets.

Two exemplary QOS metrics are delay (or latency) and Packet Error Rate (PER). While these two metrics are used for illustrative purposes herein, it should be appreciated that other metrics can also be used with this invention. For example, other QOS metrics could include one or more of a Bit Error Rate (BER), data rate, delay variation (or jitter), packet loss rate, time between error events (TBE), or the like.

As an example, in the case where the two QOS metrics are latency and PER, packets containing, for example, video

2

information (such as IPTV) may have the requirement for a very low packet error rate but can often tolerate higher delay. In contrast, voice or data (e.g., gaming) traffic may have very low latency requirements but can tolerate a higher packet error rate. For this particular example, the video packets could be designated as “low-PER” QOS packets and the voice or data packets could be designated as “low-latency” QOS packets. For example, a specific QOS identifier could be assigned to the low-latency packets while a different QOS identifier could be assigned to the low-PER packets. The low-latency packets could be forwarded directly to another transceiver, or a higher layer, while the low-PER packets can be stored in a retransmission buffer, e.g., memory, that can be used to reduce packet error.

As mentioned above, exemplary aspects also relate to sharing of resources between a retransmission function and other transceiver functions.

The exemplary systems and methods of this invention can utilize memory, such as a retransmission buffer, for the storing of packets for retransmission functions. Since other transceiver functions may also require memory to perform certain functionality, an exemplary aspect of this invention also relates to sharing the memory for retransmission functions with the memory required for other transceiver functions. For example, memory can be dynamically allocated based on configuration settings or noise conditions and, for example, the memory divided between one or more of interleaving/deinterleaving, RS Coding/Decoding functionality and the functionality used retransmission.

Aspects of the invention thus relate to identification of one or more packets.

Additional aspects of the invention relate to identifying one or more packets that can be retransmitted.

Still further aspects of the invention relate to identifying one or more packets that should not be retransmitted.

Aspects of the invention also relate to retransmission of one or more of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-data frame, a PTM-TC codeword, and RS codeword and a DMT symbols.

Still further aspects of the invention relate to appending an identifier to a packet.

Still further aspects of the invention relate to appending a sequence identifier to at least one packet.

Aspects of the invention also relate to routing one or more packets based on a packet handling identifier.

Aspects of the invention also relate to retransmitting a packet.

Aspects of the invention further relate to retransmit a packet based on a retransmission request.

Still further aspects of the invention relate to sharing memory between a retransmission function and one or more of an interleaver, deinterleaver, coder, decoder and other transceiver functionalities.

Other more specific aspects of the invention relate to sharing memory between a retransmission buffer (or memory) and interleaving/deinterleaving and/or coding/decoding functionality.

Additional exemplary, non-limiting aspects of the invention are:

1. A method of packet retransmission comprising: transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.
2. The method of aspect 1, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.
3. The method of aspect 1, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM

US 10,044,473 B2

3

packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

4. The method of aspect 1, wherein a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) is appended to each packet.

5. The method of aspect 4, wherein the identifying step comprises using a special value for a sequence identifier (SID).

6. The method of aspect 4, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

7. The method of aspect 1, wherein the at least one packet is not stored for retransmission.

8. The method of aspect 1, wherein the at least one packet is passed immediately to a high layer.

9. A packet retransmission module capable of transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets and capable of identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.

10. The module of aspect 9, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.

11. The module of aspect 9, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

12. The module of aspect 9, wherein the module is capable of appending a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) to each packet.

13. The module of aspect 12, wherein the identifying comprises using a special value for the SID.

14. The module of aspect 12, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

15. The module of aspect 9, wherein the at least one packet is not stored by the module for retransmission.

16. The module of aspect 9, wherein the at least one packet is passed by the module immediately to a high layer.

17. The module of aspect 9, wherein the module is implemented in one or more of a wireless transceiver, a wireless LAN station, a wired transceiver, a DSL modem, an ADSL modem, an xDSL modem, a VDSL modem, a multicarrier transceiver, a general purpose computer, a special purpose computer, a programmed microprocessor, a microcontroller and peripheral integrated circuit element(s), an ASIC, a digital signal processor, a hard-wired electronic or logic circuit and a programmable logic device.

18. The module of aspect 9, wherein the module is implemented in one or more of a PTM-TC, ATM-TC, PMD and PMS-TC.

19. A method comprising sharing memory between an interleaving and/or deinterleaving memory and a packet retransmission memory.

20. A method comprising allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission and a second portion of the shared memory for interleaving and/or deinterleaving.

21. The method of aspect 20, further comprising transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to allocate the shared memory.

22. The method of aspect 19 or 20, further comprising transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to share the memory.

23. A memory capable of being shared between an interleaving and/or deinterleaving buffer and a packet retransmission buffer.

24. A module capable of allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission and a second portion of the shared memory for interleaving and/or deinterleaving.

25. The module of aspect 24, wherein the module is capable of transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to allocate the shared memory.

4

26. The module of aspect 24, wherein the module is capable of transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to share the memory.

27. The module of aspect 24, wherein the module is one or more of a wireless transceiver, a wireless LAN station, a wired transceiver, a DSL modem, an ADSL modem, an xDSL modem, a VDSL modem, a multicarrier transceiver, a general purpose computer, a special purpose computer, a programmed microprocessor, a microcontroller and peripheral integrated circuit element(s), an ASIC, a digital signal processor, a hard-wired electronic or logic circuit and a programmable logic device.

28. A method of packet retransmission comprising: transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should be retransmitted and at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.

29. The method of aspect 28, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.

30. The method of aspect 28, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

31. The method of aspect 28, wherein a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) is appended to each packet.

32. The method of aspect 31, wherein the identifying step comprises using a special value for a sequence identifier (SID).

33. The method of aspect 31, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

34. The method of aspect 28, wherein at least one packet is stored for retransmission.

35. The method of aspect 28, wherein at least one packet is passed immediately to a high layer.

36. A packet handling method comprising: receiving a stream of packets; identifying a first number of packets in the stream of packets as low-latency packets; identifying a second number of packets in the stream of packets as low-error packets; forwarding the low-latency and low-error packets to a transceiver or a higher layer; and storing the low-error packets for error correction.

37. The method of aspect 36, further comprising appending the low-error packets with an identifier.

38. A method of allocating memory in a transceiver comprising: analyzing one or more communication parameters; identifying a memory allocation; and allocating memory based on the memory allocation to a retransmission function and one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding and RS decoding.

39. A memory sharing method in a transceiver comprising: receiving a memory allocation; establishing a shared memory for one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding, RS decoding and packet retransmission functions; and sharing the shared memory between a retransmission function and one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding and RS decoding functions.

40. The method of aspect 39, further comprising determining a compatibility of the memory allocation.

US 10,044,473 B2

5

41. The method of aspect 39, wherein the compatibility of the memory allocation is based on channel performance metrics.

42. Means for performing the functionality of any of the aforementioned aspects.

43. An information storage media comprising information that when executed performs the functionality of any of the aforementioned aspects.

44. Any one or more of the features as substantially described herein.

45. Means for packet retransmission comprising:

means for transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; means for identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.

46. The means of aspect 45, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.

47. The means of aspect 45, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

48. The means of aspect 45, wherein a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) is appended to each packet.

49. The means of aspect 48, wherein the means for identifying comprises using a special value for a sequence identifier (SID).

50. The means of aspect 48, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

51. The means of aspect 45, wherein the at least one packet is not stored for retransmission.

52. The means of aspect 45, wherein the at least one packet is passed immediately to a high layer.

53. Means for sharing memory between an interleaving and/or deinterleaving function and a packet retransmission function.

54. Means for allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission and a second portion of the shared memory for interleaving and/or deinterleaving.

55. The means of aspect 54, further comprising means for transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to allocate the shared memory.

56. The means of aspect 54, further comprising means for transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to share the memory.

57. Means for sharing a memory between an interleaving and/or deinterleaving function and a packet retransmission function.

58. Means for packet retransmission comprising:

means for transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; means for identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should be retransmitted and at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.

59. The means of aspect 58, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.

60. The means of aspect 58, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

61. The means of aspect 58, wherein a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) is appended to each packet.

62. The means of aspect 61, wherein the means for identifying comprises using a special value for the sequence identifier (SID).

63. The means of aspect 58, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

64. The means of aspect 58, wherein at least one packet is stored for retransmission.

6

65. The means of aspect 58, wherein at least one packet is passed immediately to a high layer.

66. A packet handling means comprising:

means for receiving a stream of packets;

means for identifying a first number of packets in the stream of packets as low-latency packets;

means for identifying a second number of packets in the stream of packets as low-error packets;

means for forwarding the low-latency and low-error packets to a transceiver or higher layer; and

means for storing the low-error packets for error correction.

67. The means of aspect 66, further comprising means for appending the low-error packets with an identifier.

68. Means for allocating memory in a transceiver comprising:

means for analyzing one or more communication parameters;

means for identifying a memory allocation; and

means for allocating memory based on the memory allocation to a retransmission function and one or more of an interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding and RS decoding function.

69. Means for memory sharing in a transceiver comprising:

means for receiving a memory allocation;

means for establishing a shared memory for one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding, RS decoding and packet retransmission function; and

means for sharing the shared memory between a retransmission function and one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding and RS decoding functionality.

70. The means of aspect 69, further comprising means for determining a compatibility of the memory allocation.

71. The means of aspect 69, wherein the compatibility of the memory allocation is based on channel performance metrics.

72. A transceiver capable of performing packet retransmission comprising:

a transmission management module configurable to transmit or receive a plurality of packets; and

a QOS module configurable to identify at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.

73. The transceiver of aspect 72, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.

74. The transceiver of aspect 72, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

75. The transceiver of aspect 72, wherein a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) is appended to each packet.

76. The transceiver of aspect 75, wherein the QOS module uses a special value for a sequence identifier (SID).

77. The transceiver of aspect 75, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

78. The transceiver of aspect 72, wherein the at least one packet is not stored for retransmission.

79. The transceiver of aspect 72, wherein the at least one packet is passed immediately to a high layer.

80. A memory capable of being shared between interleaving and/or deinterleaving and packet retransmission.

81. A memory management module capable of allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission and

US 10,044,473 B2

7

capable of allocating a second portion of the shared memory to one or more of interleaving and deinterleaving functionality.

82. The module of aspect 81, further comprising a module for transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to allocate the shared memory.

83. The module of aspect 81, further comprising a module for transmitting or receiving a message indicating how to share the memory.

84. A module capable of being shared between interleaving and/or deinterleaving and packet retransmission.

85. A transceiver capable of performing packet retransmission comprising:

a transmission management module configurable to transmit or receive a plurality of packets; and

a QOS module configurable to identify at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should be retransmitted and at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted.

86. The transceiver of aspect 85, wherein the packet is any grouping of bytes.

87. The transceiver of aspect 85, wherein the packet is one of an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data Frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS codeword and a DMT symbol.

88. The transceiver of aspect 85, wherein a bit field comprising a sequence identifier (SID) is appended to each packet.

89. The transceiver of aspect 88, wherein the identifying step comprises using a special value for a sequence identifier (SID).

90. The transceiver of aspect 88, wherein the appended bit field comprises a dedicated CRC.

91. The transceiver of aspect 85, wherein at least one packet is stored for retransmission.

92. The transceiver of aspect 85, wherein at least one packet is passed immediately to a high layer.

93. A transceiver capable of handling a stream of packets comprising:

a QOS module capable of identifying a first number of packets in the stream of packets as low-latency packets and a second number of packets in the stream of packets as low-error packets;

a transmission management module capable of forwarding the low-latency and low-error packets to another transceiver; and

a buffer module capable of storing the low-error packets for error correction.

94. The transceiver of aspect 93, further comprising a packet QOS assignment module capable of appending the low-error packets with an identifier.

95. A transceiver capable of having an allocatable memory comprising:

a controller capable of analyzing one or more communication parameters; and

a memory management module capable of identifying a memory allocation and allocating a shared memory based on the memory allocation to a retransmission function and one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding and RS decoding functions.

96. A transceiver capable of sharing memory comprising: a controller capable of receiving a memory allocation; and a memory management module capable of establishing a shared memory for a retransmission function and one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, RS coding and RS decoding functions.

8

97. The transceiver aspect 96, wherein the memory management module further determines a compatibility of the memory allocation.

98. The transceiver of aspect 96, wherein the memory allocation is based on one or more communication channel performance metrics.

99. In a communication environment where packets are being transmitted, a method for allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission of packets and a second portion of the shared memory for interleaving and/or deinterleaving.

100. The method of aspect 99, wherein all errored packets are retransmitted.

101. The method of aspects 19, 20 and 99, wherein a retransmission function identifies packets that should not be retransmitted.

102. The method of aspect 99, wherein all packets are being transmitted without an assigned a QOS level.

103. A packet communication method comprising: in a first mode of operation:

transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a packet that should not be retransmitted;

in a second mode of operation:

transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission of packets and a second portion of the shared memory for one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, coding, decoding and error correction; and

in a third mode of operation:

transmitting or receiving a plurality of packets; identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a retransmittable-type packet; identifying at least one packet of the plurality of packets as a non-retransmittable-type packet; allocating a first portion of shared memory for retransmission of the retransmittable-type packets and a second portion of the shared memory for one or more of interleaving, deinterleaving, coding, decoding and error correction.

104. The method of aspect 103, wherein the retransmittable-type packet is a low-latency packet.

105. The method of aspect 103, wherein the retransmittable-type packet is a low-error packet.

These and other features and advantages of this invention are described in, or are apparent from, the following detailed description of the exemplary embodiments.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The exemplary embodiments of the invention will be described in detail, with reference to the following figures wherein:

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary communication system according this invention.

FIG. 2 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary method for packet retransmission according this invention.

FIG. 3 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary method for retransmitted packet reception according this invention.

FIG. 4 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary method for memory allocation according to this invention.

FIG. 5 is a flowchart outlining an exemplary method for memory sharing according this invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The exemplary embodiments of this invention will be described in relation to packet retransmission and/or

US 10,044,473 B2

9

memory sharing in an xDSL environment. However, it should be appreciated, that in general, the systems and methods of this invention will work equally well for any type of communication system in any environment.

The exemplary systems and methods of this invention will also be described in relation to multicarrier modems, such as xDSL modems and VDSL modems, and associated communication hardware, software and communication channels. However, to avoid unnecessarily obscuring the present invention, the following description omits well-known structures and devices that may be shown in block diagram form or otherwise summarized.

For purposes of explanation, numerous details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. It should be appreciated however that the present invention may be practiced in a variety of ways beyond the specific details set forth herein.

Furthermore, while the exemplary embodiments illustrated herein show the various components of the system collocated, it is to be appreciated that the various components of the system can be located at distant portions of a distributed network, such as a communications network and/or the Internet, or within a dedicated secure, unsecured and/or encrypted system. Thus, it should be appreciated that the components of the system can be combined into one or more devices, such as a modem, or collocated on a particular node of a distributed network, such as a telecommunications network. As will be appreciated from the following description, and for reasons of computational efficiency, the components of the system can be arranged at any location within a distributed network without affecting the operation of the system. For example, the various components can be located in a Central Office modem (CO, ATU-C, VTU-O), a Customer Premises modem (CPE, ATU-R, VTU-R), an xDSL management device, or some combination thereof. Similarly, one or more functional portions of the system could be distributed between a modem and an associated computing device.

Furthermore, it should be appreciated that the various links, including communications channel 10, connecting the elements (not shown) can be wired or wireless links, or any combination thereof, or any other known or later developed element(s) that is capable of supplying and/or communicating data to and from the connected elements. The term module as used herein can refer to any known or later developed hardware, software, firmware, or combination thereof that is capable of performing the functionality associated with that element. The terms determine, calculate and compute, and variations thereof, as used herein are used interchangeably and include any type of methodology, process, mathematical operation or technique. Transmitting modem and Transmitting transceiver as well as Receiving modem and Receiving transceiver are used interchangeably herein.

Moreover, while some of the exemplary embodiments described herein are directed toward a transmitter portion of a transceiver performing interleaving and/or coding on transmitted information, it should be appreciated that a corresponding deinterleaving and/or decoding is performed by a receiving portion of a transceiver. Thus, while perhaps not specifically illustrated in every example, this disclosure is intended to include this corresponding functionality in both the same transceiver and/or another transceiver.

Communication system 100 comprises a portion of a transceiver 200 and a portion of a transceiver 300. The transceiver 200, in addition to well known componentry, comprises an errored packet module 210, a transmission

10

management module 220, a QOS ID module 225, a QOS module 230, a packet QOS assignment module 240, a retransmission buffer/interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS Decoding memory 250, a counter module 260, a memory management module 270 and a controller/memory 280.

Connected via communication channel 10 to transceiver 200 is transceiver 300. The transceiver 300, in addition to well known componentry, comprises an errored packet module 310, a transmission management module 320, a QOS ID module 325, a QOS module 330, a packet QOS assignment module 340, a retransmission buffer/interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS Decoding memory 350, a counter module 360, a memory management module 370 and a controller/memory 380.

As discussed above, the systems, methods and protocols discussed herein will be described in relation to xDSL systems, such as those specified in ADSL2 ITU-T G.992.3, ADSL2+ ITU G.992.5, and VDSL2 ITU G.993.2, which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

In operation, a first aspect of the invention relates to retransmission of one or more packets, the retransmission identifier being implemented at any transmission layer where packet boundaries are defined. For example, it can be implemented in the Packet Transmission Mode TC (PTM-TC) of xDSL systems. For reference, "Annex A" which is of record in the identified provisional filing and incorporated by reference herein contains the PTM-TC of ADSL2 and VDSL2 systems as specified in the ITU-T G.992.3 ADSL2 standard.

As discussed herein, the invention will generally be described in relation to the retransmission mechanism being incorporated as part of the PTM-TC however, it should be appreciated that it can also be implemented inside other layer(s) of a communication device, such as an xDSL transceiver, such as within the PMD or PMS-TC.

The retransmission techniques disclosed herein can also be performed at a layer above the PTM-TC, for example, in a new layer between the PTM-TC and the next higher layer, or at any layer above the physical layer, e.g., layers 2, 3, 4, 5, etc.

Additionally, while "packet" is used herein, the term "packet" includes any basic data unit, i.e., a grouping of bytes. For example, a packet could be an IP packet, an Ethernet packet, an ATM cell, a PTM packet, an ADSL Mux-Data frame, a PTM-TC codeword, an RS Codeword, a DMT symbol, or, in general, any grouping of data bytes or information. A packet could also be a combination of one or more of the above. For example, a packet could be constructed by concatenating any number of ATM cells to create a larger grouping of bits. For example, five 53-byte ATM cells could be combined into a 265 byte packet or four 65 PTM-TC codewords could be combined into a 260 byte packet. A packet could also be based on dividing any of the above groupings of bytes. For example, larger IP or Ethernet packets could be divided into smaller groups of bytes to be used as a "packet" with the retransmission functionality described herein. For example, a 1500 byte IP packet could be divided into three 500 byte packets and used by the retransmission protocol. If the retransmission function is implemented as part of the PTM-TC, packets are received from a higher-layer in the xDSL transmitter PTM-TC and sent via the xDSL transmitter PMS-TC and PMD over the communication channel to the xDSL receiver. The xDSL receiver PMD and PMS-TC process the received signal and

US 10,044,473 B2

11

pass the results to the PTM-TC, which processes the information and passes the received packet up to a higher layer(s).

Packets received from the higher layer at the xDSL transmitter PTM-TC can be designated to have a QOS level. The QOS level of a packet can indicate the importance of certain service metrics (or characteristics) of this (or more) packet(s). Two exemplary QOS metrics are delay (or latency) and PER. Although, as discussed above, these two characteristics are the focus of the invention, any number of different QOS metrics could also be used.

As an example, in the case where the 2 QOS metrics are latency and PER, a first set of packets carrying certain information may have a requirement for very low PER but may be able to tolerate higher delay. Other packets containing information such as voice or data traffic may have very low delay requirements but can tolerate a higher PER. According to an exemplary embodiment of this invention, the first set of packets would be designated as “low-PER” QOS packets whereas voice or data packets would be designated as “low-latency” QOS packets. The QOS level (or metric) of a packet could be designated in a number of ways. For example:

i) Certain bit fields in the header of data portions of each packet could contain certain values that specify the QOS requirements a packet. For example, the packet header could contain bit fields that indicate if the packet has a “low-PER” QOS requirement or a “low-latency” QOS requirement. These fields could be read by the transmitting modem and/or receiving modem to determine the QOS level of each packet.

ii) When sending packets from higher layer to the PTM-TC, the higher layer could indicate on a packet by packet basis the QOS requirements of each packet. For example, there could be a separate signal on the interface that indicates if a packet being transferred has a “low-PER” QOS requirement or a “low-latency” QOS requirement.

iii) When sending packets from higher layer to the PTM-TC, there could be a separate interface (or channel) for packets with different QOS requirements. For example, one channel could be used to transfer packets that have a “low-PER” QOS requirement and a second channel could be used to transfer packets that have a “low-latency” QOS requirement. This general concept could also be scaled to accommodate a plurality of different QOS requirements and a plurality of channels.

iv) As in the case of Pre-Emption in the PTM-TC (see Annex A), two logically separated γ -interfaces could be used for the transport of a low-PER and low-latency packet flow through a single bearer channel. This general idea could then be scaled to support any number of packet types.

Other mechanisms can also be used to designate the QOS level of a packet—provided the transmitter and/or receiver retransmission protocol is capable of knowing the QOS level for one or more packets.

Once the QOS level is known by the PTM-TCs, an efficient packet retransmission can be designed. The exemplary packet retransmission methods and protocols can be designed to include any one or more of the following system level characteristics:

All packets are received from the higher layer and passed to the higher layers in the correct order.

“Low-latency” QOS packets will not incur any extra delay due to retransmission.

Only packets with “low-PER” QOS should be retransmitted, and therefore only low-PER packets will incur the extra delay due to the retransmission mechanism.

12

Flow control can be minimized such that the transmitter can generally accept all packets from the higher layer at the required data rate without holding-off (or “blocking”) packets from the higher layer during the retransmission process.

Packet delay-variation/jitter can be minimal.

A “DRR-like” functionality in a single bearer without requiring latency/interleaver OLR.

The transceiver **200**, in cooperation with the QOS module **230**, receives packets from a higher-layer. In cooperation with the packet QOS assignment module **240**, a packet Sequence ID (SID) is appended to the received packets. The packets, in cooperation with the transmission management module **220**, can then be transmitted in the order in which they were received.

The QOS Module **230**, if not already performed by a high layer, also identifies packets based on the QOS requirement of the packet(s). Then, in cooperation with the packet QOS assignment module **240**, a QOS identifier is associated with the packet as discussed hereinafter.

If, for example, the packet is identified as a low-PER packet, and assigned such an identifier by the QOS module **230**, when the transmission management module **220** receives the packet, the packet is identified by the QOS ID module **225** as being a low-PER packet and the packet is forwarded for storage in the retransmission buffer **250**. Alternatively, if the packet has been labeled as a low-latency packet, and identified as such by the by the QOS ID module **225**, the packet can be transmitted to the receiving modem in cooperation with the transmission management module **220**.

The low-PER packets can be stored for a sufficient amount of time to wait for a retransmission message from the receiver PTM-TC. During this time, the transmitting modem can continue to receive packets from one or more higher layers, label these packets, if needed, and store these packets, if they are identified as low-PER packets, in the same way. The resulting minimum storage requirements for the transmitter PTM-TC are estimated below.

For successful retransmission, the receiving modem should be able to inform the transmitting modem which packet, or packets, need to be retransmitted. One exemplary way of performing this is by transmitting packets with an appended bit field that contains a counter indicating the place of each packet in a stream of packets. This counter value is also known as a Sequence ID (SID). For example, a bit field containing a 16-bit counter could be appended to each packet and the counter module **260** would be incremented by one after each packet was transmitted. In cooperation with the packet assignment module **240**, a packet counter field could be appended to the packet in a number of places, for example, at the beginning or end of the packet, or at the beginning or end of the packet header.

Packets received from a higher-layer may already have information in a header or data field of the packet that contains the packet count, or sequence, information. In addition, the packet counter field may be appended with an additional CRC field that contains a cyclic redundancy check that is computed on the packet counter field bits only. This CRC can be used by the receiver to determine if the packet counter field is received correctly, i.e., without bit errors. This CRC can be in addition to the standard CRC inserted by the standard PTM-TC (the standard packet PTM-TC CRC is a CRC that covers all bits in a packet). The standard packet CRC may also cover the new packet counter field in its CRC as well. This helps if the receiving modem uses the presence or absence of the packet counter field in a

US 10,044,473 B2

13

packet to detect if the packet has a low-PER or low-latency requirement (discussed below).

Alternatively, or in addition, the packet counter field (with or without a dedicated CRC) can be appended only to the packets with a specific QOS requirement, whereas all other packets can be transmitted without modification. For example, all video packets with low-PER QOS could contain the appended packet counter field whereas all the voice/data low-latency packets could be transmitted unchanged. One exemplary benefit of this is that the overhead (rate loss) due to adding the packet counter field is incurred only when transmitting low-PER packets.

Alternatively, or in addition, all low-PER and low-latency packets can be transmitted with the low packet counter field (with or without a dedicated CRC). In this case, the packet counter field of the low-latency packets may contain a special value indicating that a packet is not a low-PER packet. Also, the packet counter field of the low-latency packet may not even contain a count value, since the low-latency packets are not intended to be retransmitted. In this case, the packet counter field could contain a counter value only for low-PER packets and the counter value would only be incremented when a low-PER packet was transmitted. As an example, if the packet counter field is 16 bits, the special value of all zeros could be used to indicate that a packet is a low-latency packet. In this case, low-PER packets could contain counter values from one up to $2^{16}-1$, but not including all zeros, since this special zero value can be used to indicate a low-latency packet.

The receiving modem, e.g., receiver PTM-TC, which in this case is illustrated as the transceiver 300 and includes comparable functionality to that described in relation to transceiver 200, receives packets from the transmitting modem via the PMS-TC. If the received packet is identified as a low-latency packet by the QOS ID module 325, the packet is passed to a higher-layer. If a received packet is identified by the QOS ID module 325 as a low-PER packet, the packet is forwarded, with the cooperation of the transmission management module 320, to the retransmission buffer 350 for a minimum amount of time before passing to a higher-layer.

The storage time in the retransmission buffer 350 helps ensure that the retransmission protocol provides a constant delay, e.g., no delay variation seen by the upper layers. This way, if a packet needs to be retransmitted, the receiving modem can continue to provide packets to the higher-layers at a constant rate while waiting for the retransmitted packet(s) to arrive from the transmitting modem. The resulting minimum memory (or storage) requirements for the receiving PTM-TC are estimated below.

Alternatively, low-PER packets without errors may not be stored for a minimum amount of time before passing to a higher-layer. The error-free low-PER packets can be passed to the higher-layer immediately just like the low-latency packets. However, when a low-PER packet is in error, it is stored along with all of the following low-PER packets before passing to a higher-layer in order to wait for the retransmitted packet(s) to arrive. This will cause a delay variation on the low-PER packets whenever a retransmission occurs. However, this delay variation would not apply to the low-latency packets.

The QOS ID module 325 can detect that a packet is either low-PER or low-latency using several different methods. For example, if all low-PER and low-latency packets contain the appended packet counter field, then the receiving modem, in cooperation with the counter module 360, detects a low-latency packet when a packet counter field contains

14

the designated special value, which was inserted by the transmitting modem, indicating the packet is a low-latency packet.

Alternatively, or in addition, the receiver could detect a low-PER packet when the packet counter field contains a valid packet counter value. Additionally, if a dedicated CRC is appended to the packet counter field, the CRC could be used to detect if the packet counter field bits are in error.

If the packet counter field, including the CRC, is only appended to low-PER packets, the absence or presence of this field in a packet can be used by the receiving modem, and in particular the QOS ID module, to detect a low-delay packet. For example, the receiving modem can examine the position in the packet where the packet counter field would be, if it was a low-PER packet, and if the packet counter field CRC fails while the standard whole packet CRC is correct, the receiving modem could determine that the packet is a low-delay packet, since it does not contain the packet counter field. Likewise, for example, the receiving modem can examine the position in the packet where the packet counter field would be, if it was a low-PER packet, and if the packet counter field CRC is correct, the receiving modem would determine that the packet is a low-PER packet, regardless of the status of the standard whole packet CRC.

The receiving modem, in cooperation with the retransmission buffer 350, and the errored packet module 310, can be used to detect missing or errored packets in a number of exemplary ways. For example, the errored packet module 310 can detect bit errors in the packet using the standard/whole packet PTM-TC CRC. Alternatively, or in addition, the errored packet module 310 can detect bit errors in the packet counter field if the transmitting modem appended a dedicated CRC to the packet counter field. This CRC is valuable because it can be used by the errored packet module in the receiving modem to determine if a packet has the correct packet number, even if the standard whole packet CRC happens to be in error.

Alternatively, or in addition, the errored packet module 310, can detect an errored or missing packet by receiving a packet with a correct CRC, either in the standard or packet counter field, which contains a packet counter number that is not the expected packet counter number. For example, if the errored packet module 310, in cooperation with the counter module 360, detects the receipt of a packet with a counter number equal to 5, wherein the errored packet module 310 is expecting to receive a packet with a counter equal to 3, the errored packet module 310 can determine that two packets, namely packets numbered 3 and 4, were lost due to errors.

Once a packet(s) is found to be in error, there are several exemplary ways in which a receiving modem can communicate information to the transmitting modem indicating that a retransmission of one or more packets is required. For example, the receiving modem, in cooperation with the errored packet module 310, can send an acknowledgment (ACK) message to the transmitting modem for every correctly received message or every predetermined number of packets. As long as the transmitting modem, and in particular the errored packet module 210, receives messages acknowledging receipt of packets in sequential order, there is no need for retransmission of information to the receiving modem. However, if the transmitting modem, and in particular the errored packet module 210, receives a message from the receiving modem, and in particular the errored packet module 310, indicating that a packet was correctly received with a counter value that is out of order, a retransmission by the transmitting modem is required. In the above

US 10,044,473 B2

15

example, where the receiving modem received a packet with a counter value equal to 5, without receiving packets numbered 3 and 4, the transmitting modem could receive an ACK for the packet with counter value of 2 and then an ACK for the packet with a counter value of 5. The transmitting modem would then determine that it was necessary to retransmit packets with counter values of 3 and 4 since they were not received.

Alternatively, or in addition, a timeout value could be specified for the transmitting modem. This timeout value could correspond to the amount of time that the transmitting modem should wait for an ACK for particular packet before retransmitting the packet. The timeout value could be set to be at least as long as the round-trip delay required for the transmitting modem to send a packet to the receiving modem and for the receiving modem to send an ACK back to the transmitting modem. If an ACK is not received by the timeout value, the transmitting modem could retransmit the packet.

Alternatively, or in addition, a negative acknowledgment (NAK) could be sent to the transmitting modem when a packet is detected as errored or missing. In the above example, when the receiving modem received the packet with a counter value of 5, while expecting a counter value of 3, the receiving modem could send a NAK message to the transmitting modem indicating that packets with counter values of 3 and 4 were not correctly received and needed to be retransmitted.

Alternatively, or in addition, if a packet was received with a correct packet counter CRC and a valid packet counter value a and an incorrect standard whole packet CRC, the receiving modem could send a NAK message to the transmitting modem indicating that a packet with a value of a was incorrectly received and needed to be retransmitted.

Assuming that errored packets are infrequent, any methodology that sends an ACK for each correctly received packet can require a larger amount of data rate in the message channel that communicates this information back to the transmitting modem. In this case, sending only NAKs has the benefit that it requires sending a message only when an errored or missing packet is detected. Depending on the data rate capabilities of the message channel, and the PER, a retransmission system may use only ACKs, only NAKs, or both ACKs and NAKs at the same time.

The ACK and NAK messages sent back to the transmitting modem can be transmitted over the same physical channel i.e., phone line, in the opposite direction as the received packets. Since the channel has a limited data rate and is not necessarily error-free, it is important to make sure that these messages are as robust as possible and consume the least amount of data rate. Additionally, since the transmit and receive retransmission memory requirements depend on the round-trip latency of the connection, is important to minimize latency requirements for the message channel. There are several ways these requirements can be addressed.

The messages can be sent over a separate "low-latency" or "fast" path between the xDSL transceivers. This fast path could include little or even no delay due to interleaving and can be specified to have a latency that is less than 2 ms.

Alternatively, or in addition, the messages can be sent with increasing robustness by repeating transmission of each message a number of times. For example, the message could be repeated x times in order to make sure that even if $x-1$ messages were corrupted by the channel, at least one message would be received correctly.

Alternatively, or in addition, the messages can be sent such that each message is repeated a number of times and

16

each repeated message is sent in a different DMT symbol. For example, the message can be repeated x times and each message sent in one of x DMT symbols. This way, even if $x-1$ DMT symbols were corrupted by the channel, at least one message would be received correctly.

Alternatively, or in addition, the messages can be sent such that each message is repeated a number of times and each repeated message is sent in different DMT symbols. For example, the message could be repeated x times and each message sent in one of x DMT symbols. This way, even if $x-1$ DMT symbols were corrupted by the channel, at least one message would be received correctly.

Alternatively, or in addition, the messages can be sent such that each message is repeated a number of times and each repeated message is sent a plurality of times in each DMT symbol. For example, the message could be repeated x times and each repeated message sent y times in one of x DMT symbols. This way, even if $x-1$ DMT symbols were corrupted by the channel and/or large portions of a DMT symbol were corrupted by a channel, the least one message would be received correctly.

Alternatively, or in addition, the messages can include multiple packet count values in order to reduce the data rate requirements. For example, if packets with counter values of 3-9 are correctly (or incorrectly) received an ACK (or NAK) message would be sent to indicate these packet values. For example, the message could contain the values 3 and 9 and the receiver of the message would automatically know that all intermediate values (4, 5, 6, 7, 8) are also been indicated in the message.

Alternatively, or in addition, the DMT sub-carriers that modulate these messages could operate with a much higher SNR margin e.g., 15 dB, as compared to the normal 6 dB margin of xDSL systems. This way, the messages would have a higher immunity to channel noise.

Alternatively, or in addition, a receiving modem may need to send an additional ACK or NAK message after already in the process of sending a repeated message. For example, a receiving modem may detect that packets with values 3 to 9 have been correctly received and send an ACK message back to the transmitting modem indicating this information. This message can be repeated x times with each repeated message being transmitted (at least once) on different DMT symbols. While sending the second repeated message on the second DMT symbol, the receiver could detect that packets with values 10 to 17 have now also been correctly received. In this case, the receiving modem could just append this information to the previous message or, alternatively, send a new separate message that is repeated as well x times with each repeated message being transmitted (at least once) on a different DMT symbol.

Alternatively, or in addition, when repeating a message x times on x DMT symbols, each repeated message can be modulated on a different set of DMT sub-carriers on each DMT symbol. This way, if one or more sub-carriers have a low SNR, the message will still be correctly received.

For low-PER packets, the delay due to this retransmission protocol is equal to the delay that results from storing these packets at the receiving modem (RX PTM-TC) to pass in the packets to a higher layer. Low-latency packets do not incur extra delay.

The transmitting modem must store a packet for retransmission for a time equal to the round trip delay from when the packet is sent to when the retransmission message is received. During this time the transmitting modem continues to receive packets from the higher layer and continues to

US 10,044,473 B2

17

store these packets in the same way. Therefore the storage requirements in octets can be computed as:

$$\text{Minimum TX memory (octets)} = \text{roundtripdelay} * \text{datarate},$$

where the roundtripdelay is the time equal to the round trip delay from when the packet is sent to when the retransmission message is received, and the datarate is the data rate of the connection that is transferring the packets.

For ITU-T G.993.2 VDSL2, which is incorporated herein by reference, this can be computed using the VDSL2 profile parameters as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimum TX memory (octets)} = & (\text{DS} + \text{US Interleaving} \\ & \text{Delay in octets}) + (\text{US} + \text{DS alpha/beta delay} \\ & \text{without interleaving}) * (\text{Bidirectional Net data} \\ & \text{rate}) = \text{MAXDLEYOCTET} + (4 \text{ ms}) * \text{MBDC}, \end{aligned}$$

where MAXDELAYOCTET and MBDC are as specified in the VDSL2 profiles.

For the receiver, the minimum receiver storage requirements can be determined in a similar manner. More specifically, the RX PTM-TC must store a packet before passing it to the higher layer for a time equal to the round trip delay from when a retransmission message is transmitted to when the retransmitted packet is received. This is equal to storage requirements in octets (same as transmitter):

$$\text{Minimum RX memory (octets)} = \text{roundtripdelay} * \text{datarate},$$

where the roundtripdelay is the time equal to the round trip from when a retransmission message is transmitted to when the retransmitted packet is received and the datarate is the data rate of the connection that is transferring the packets.

For ITU-T G.993.2 VDSL2 this can be computed using the VDSL2 profile parameters as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimum RX memory (octets)} = & (\text{DS} + \text{US Interleaving} \\ & \text{Delay in octets}) + (\text{US} + \text{DS alpha/beta delay} \\ & \text{without interleaving}) * (\text{Bidirectional Net data} \\ & \text{rate}) = \text{MAXDLEYOCTET} + (4 \text{ ms}) * \text{MBDC}, \end{aligned}$$

where MAXDELAYOCTET and MBDC are as specified in the ITU-T G.993.2 VDSL2 profiles.

TABLE 1

Minimum TX or RX memory requirements for VDSL2				
VDSL2 PROFILE	8a, 8b, 8c, 8d	12a, 12b	17a	30a
TX or RX memory requirements (octets) = MAXDLEYOCTET + .002MBDC	90,536	99,536	123,304	231,072

The estimates in Table 1 assume that all the entire MAXDELAYOCTET and MBDC are used for the transfer of the packet stream, i.e., the reverse channel has a very low data rate and no interleaving.

Some xDSL standards specify minimum storage, i.e., memory, requirements for interleaving of RS codewords. Interleaving with RS coding is an effective way of correcting channel errors due to, for example, impulse noise. For example, VDSL2 requires support of an aggregate bidirectional interleaver and de-interleaver memory of 65 Kbytes for the 8a VDSL2 profile. This corresponds to storage requirement of approximately 32 Kbytes in a single transceiver.

18

Sharing of Memory Between the Retransmission Function and One or More of the Interleaving/Deinterleaving/RS Coding/RS Decoding Functions

From Table 1, it is apparent that the memory requirements to support the retransmission protocol may be more than double the storage requirements of a single transceiver. Additionally, the retransmission protocol provides a different method for correcting channel errors due to, for example, impulse noise.

Moreover, interleaving and RS coding methods and retransmission protocols provide different advantages with respect to error correction capabilities, latency, buffering requirements, and the like. For example, under certain configuration and noise conditions the interleaving/RS coding provides error correction/coding gain with less delay and overhead than the retransmission protocol (for packets that can be retransmitted). While under other conditions the retransmission protocol will provide better error correction with less delay and overhead than the interleaving/RS coding.

In some cases, a first portion of the memory can be used for one function and a second portion of the memory for some other function. For example, if the configuration and noise conditions are such that the interleaving/RS coding would not provide good error correction/coding gain, then all the available memory could be used for the retransmission function and none allocated to the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality, e.g., the interleaving/deinterleaving could be disabled.

Likewise, if the configuration and noise conditions are such that the retransmission protocol would not provide good error correction/coding gain, then all the available memory could be used for the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality and no memory would be used for the retransmission function, e.g., the retransmission function would be disabled.

Alternatively, or addition, both methods could be used because both have their advantages, with the system, e.g., the memory management module 370, being able to dynamically allocate a first portion of the memory 250/350 to the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality and a second portion of the memory to the retransmission functionality. For example, 40% of the memory could be allocated to the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality with the remaining 60% allocated to the retransmission of functionality. However, it should be appreciated, that in general, the memory can be divided, i.e., shared, in any manner.

The sharing of memory between the retransmission function and the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functions is not restricted to retransmission protocols described in other embodiments that utilize QOS metrics to determine which packets should be retransmitted. In other words, the sharing of memory between the retransmission function and the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functions can be utilized for retransmission systems where all errored packets are retransmitted, i.e., there is no QOS identifier in the retransmission protocol. For example, the FEC/interleaving could be used to meet the INPmin requirement specifically targeting the impulse noise that occurs frequently (e.g., on the order of minutes or seconds) but is short in duration and can therefore be corrected by the FEC/interleaving. For example, the retransmission protocol can be used to correct infrequent errors (on the order of hours) that are long in duration and would not be correctable by the FEC/interleaving. As another example, the FEC/interleaving function may be used in combination

with the retransmission function because it is well known that FEC with minimal interleaving provides a 1 dB to 3 dB coding gain when used with a trellis code (as is often the case in xDSL systems). This means that even when the majority of the shared memory is allocated to a retransmission function to address channel noise (such as impulse noise), a smaller amount of memory may be allocated to the FEC/interleaving function for the coding gain advantage.

Associated with the ability to allocate or partition memory between one or more of the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality and retransmission functionality, is the ability to exchange information between transceivers on how to establish this allocation. For example, the transmitting modem may send a message to the receiving modem indicating how much of the available memory is to be allocated to one or more of the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality and how much memory is to be allocated to the retransmission functionality. For example, if the receiving modem contains 100 kBytes of available memory, the transmitting modem could send a message to the receiving modem indicating that 25 kBytes should be allocated to RS coding functionality and 75 kBytes should be allocated to the retransmission functionality. Since the receiving modem generally determines the interleaving/RS coding parameters that are used, the receiving modem could use this information to select parameters, e.g., interleaver depth and codeword size, that would result in an interleaving memory requirement that is no more than the amount indicated in the message.

Alternatively, or addition, the receiving modem can send a message to the transmitting modem indicating how much of the available memory is to be allocated to one or more of the interleaving/deinterleaving/RS coding/RS decoding functionality, and how much memory should be allocated to the retransmission functionality.

Sharing of Memory Between a Retransmission Function with Identification of Low-PER and/or Low-Latency Packets and One or More of Interleaving/Deinterleaving/RS Coding/RS Decoding Functions.

A way of reducing the total memory requirement of a transceiver that supports the retransmission functionality with the identification of the low-PER and/or the low-latency packets is to define a limit, such as a maximum value, for the data rate of the low-PER packet stream, i.e., the packets requiring retransmission to meet a specific PER requirement. For example, if the total data rate is 50 Mbps, and the roundtrip delay is 10 ms, the minimum TX or RX memory requirement is $50,000,000 \times 0.01/8 = 62500$ bytes if the retransmission function must support the case where all the transmitted packet (all 50 Mbps) are low-PER packets. If however, only a portion of the 50 Mbps data rate is allocated to the low-PER packet stream (e.g. 30 Mbps), whereas the remainder of the data rate is allocated to the low-latency packet stream (e.g. 20 Mbps), the minimum TX or RX memory requirement would be $30,000,000 \times 0.01/8 = 37500$ bytes (assuming a roundtrip delay of 10 ms). In this case, the transmitting modem (or receiving modem) may send a message to the receiving modem (or transmitting modem) that indicates the maximum data rate of the packet traffic that will be used in the retransmission function. Using the example above, the transmitting modem (or receiving modem) would send a message indicating that the low-PER traffic will not exceed 30 Mbps, in which case the receiving modem (or transmitting modem) will allocate memory to the retransmission functionality and the interleaving/RS coding (or deinterleaving/RS decoding) functionality accordingly.

One exemplary advantage of indicating the low-PER and low-latency packets as part of the retransmission protocol is that it provides a DDR-like functionality without the overhead of dynamically re-allocating latency paths. For example, when a video application is turned off (less low-PER packets on the connection), the data application data rate can be increased (more low-latency packets on the connection) without any changes in the transmission parameters.

The retransmission protocol can also be used with or without underlying FEC/interleaving (or deinterleaving). An exemplary approach is to use the FEC/interleaving to meet the INPmin requirement specifically targeting the impulse noise that occurs frequently, e.g., on the order of minutes or seconds. The retransmission protocol can be used to correct infrequent errors (on the order of hours) that will only typically be a problem for very-low PER applications, such as video.

When a retransmission protocol is combined with underlying FEC/interleaving (or deinterleaving), the retransmission protocol latency will grow in proportion to the additional FEC/interleaving delay. This is due to the fact that the required receiver buffering corresponds approximately to the round-trip delay time of packet transmission and message acknowledgment.

As an example of utilizing the retransmission protocol that identifies one or more of low-PER and low-latency packets with underlying FEC/Interleaving (or deinterleaving), the FEC/interleaving is used to achieve the INPmin requirements within the latency constraint and the retransmission function is used to provide another layer of error correction. The low-PER packets are passed through both the retransmission function and the FEC/interleaver and, as a result, a very low PER is achieved. The low-latency packets are passed through the FEC/Interleaver but not passed through the retransmission function. Since low-latency packets are passed through the FEC/interleaver, they will meet the INPmin and MaxDelay requirements without incurring the extra delay from the retransmission protocol.

Example Configuration Parameters:

DS Data rate=25 Mbps, INPmin=2, MaxDelayDS=8 ms

Example FEC/Interleaving Parameters:

NFEC=128, R=16 which results in an interleaver memory of approximately 14 Kbytes for INP=2 with 8 ms of delay.

Retransmission Protocol:

If we assume the US latency is 2 ms, the retransmission protocol will add a minimum of $8+2=10$ ms of latency. This means that the total DS latency (FEC/interleaving+Retransmission) will be approximately $8+10=18$ ms.

Memory Requirements:

The memory requirements for the retransmission protocol can be calculated as: $(10 \text{ ms}) \times (25 \text{ Mbps})/8 = 31 \text{ Kbytes}$. Therefore the transmitter and receiver will both need a total memory of $(31+14)=45 \text{ Kbytes}$ for the retransmission protocol and FEC/Interleaving function.

Low-PER Packets:

Latency=18 ms. The PER is very low because INPmin=2 (from FEC/interleaving) is combined with the error correction of the retransmission function.

Low-Latency Packets:

Latency=8 ms. INP=2 from FEC/interleaving. No additional delay due to retransmission function.

Although this invention describes the retransmission being done as part of the PTM-TC, it could also be done inside other layer(s) of the xDSL transceiver, such as the PMD or the PMS-TC. Alternatively, it could be performed at a

US 10,044,473 B2

21

layer(s) above the PTM-TC, for example, in a new layer between the PTM-TC and the next higher layer, or in general any layer above the physical layer, e.g., layer 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5.

In this invention, the term “transmitter” generally refers to the transceiver that transmits the packets. Likewise the term “receiver” generally refers to the transceiver that receives the packets. Therefore the “transmitter” also receives the ACK/NAK messages and the “receiver” also transmits the ACK/NAK messages.

FIG. 2 outlines an exemplary method of operation of a transmitting modem utilizing the retransmission protocol. In particular, control begins in step S100 and continues to step S110. In step S110, a packet is received from a higher layer. Then, in step S120, a decision is made as to whether the received packet is a retransmitted type packet. If the packet is not a retransmitted type packet, such as a low-latency packet, control jumps to step S125 where the packet is optionally updated (as discussed above) with control continuing to step S130 where the packet is forwarded to the receiver. Control then continues to step S140 where the control sequence ends.

If the packet is a retransmitted type packet, such as a low-PER packet, control continues to step S150. In step S150, the packet can be updated with information such as a sequence identifier or other information that allows a receiver to be able to determine which packet (or packets) need to be retransmitted. Next, in step S160, the updated packet is stored in the retransmission buffer. Then, in step S170, the packet is forwarded to the receiver. Control then continues to step S180.

In step S180, a determination is made whether the packet needs to be retransmitted. If the packet needs to be retransmitted, control jumps back to step S170. Otherwise, control continues to step S190.

In step S190, the packet is deleted from the retransmission buffer. Control then continues to step S140 where the control sequence ends.

FIG. 3 outlines an exemplary method of operation of a receiving modem utilizing the retransmission protocol. In particular, control begins in step S200 and continues to step S210. In step S210, a packet is received from the transmitter. Next, in step S220, a determination is made whether the packet has been identified as a retransmitted type packet. If the packet has not been identified as a retransmittable type packet, control jumps to step S230.

In step S230, the packet is forwarded to a higher layer. Control then continues to step S240 where the control sequence ends.

Alternatively, if the received packet is a retransmittable type packet, the packet is stored in the retransmission buffer in step S260. Next, in step S270, the integrity of the packet can be checked, for example utilizing a CRC. Then, in step S280, a determination is made whether the packet needs retransmission. If the packet needs retransmission, control continues to step S290 where the retransmitted packet is obtained, for example, based on the sending of a message(s), one or the other transceiver determining a packet is missing, or the like, as discussed above, with control continuing back to step S270 for an integrity check.

If the packet does not need retransmission, control continues to step S295 where the packet is forwarded to a higher layer and deleted from the retransmission buffer. Control then continues to step S240 where the control sequence ends.

FIG. 4 outlines an exemplary memory allocation method for sharing memory between the retransmission function and one or more of the interleaving/deinterleaving functionality

22

and coding functionality. In particular, control begins in step S300 and continues to step S305. In step S305, a message is sent/received specifying the available memory. Typically, the receiver will send a message to the transmitter specifying the available memory, but the transmitter could also send a message to the receiver. Next, in step S310, a determination is made as to how the memory should be allocated. As discussed, this allocation can be based on one or more of error correction capability, latency, buffering requirements, SNR, impulse noise, or in general, any communication parameter. Next, in step S320, the memory allocation is communicated to another transceiver. Then, in step S330, a determination can be made as to whether the allocation is compatible. If the received allocation is not compatible, control continues to step S360 wherein another allocation can be requested, with control continuing back to step S320.

Alternatively, if the allocation is compatible, in step S340 the memory is allocated based on the received allocation. Control then continues to step S350 where the control sequence ends.

FIG. 5 illustrates an exemplary memory sharing methodology for use with a retransmission function and one or more of interleaving/deinterleaving functionality, RS coding/decoding functionality. In particular, control begins in step S400 and continues to step S410. In step S410, the memory allocation is received from, for example, a memory management module that may be located in the same transceiver, or at a remote transceiver. Next, in step S420, the memory sharing configuration is established and then, in step S430, the memory is shared between a retransmission function and one or more of the interleaving/deinterleaving functionality, RS coding/decoding functionality. Control then continues to step S440.

In step S440, a determination is made whether the memory sharing configuration should be changed. For example, the memory sharing configuration can be dynamically changed based on changes in the communication channel or data type(s) being sent on the communication channel. More specifically, for example, if the communications channel was not performing well, e.g., an increase in bit errors, it may be advantageous to increase the retransmission capability while decreasing the FEC/interleaving capability or vice-versa, which could have an impact on how the memory sharing should be configured.

If the memory sharing configuration should be changed, control continues to step S450 where another allocation can be requested, with control continuing back to step S410. Otherwise, control continues to step S460 where the control sequence ends.

While the above-described flowcharts have been discussed in relation to a particular sequence of events, it should be appreciated that changes to this sequence can occur without materially affecting the operation of the invention. Additionally, the exact sequence of events need not occur as set forth in the exemplary embodiments, but rather the steps can be performed by one or the other transceiver in the communication system provided both transceivers are aware of the technique being used for initialization. Additionally, the exemplary techniques illustrated herein are not limited to the specifically illustrated embodiments but can also be utilized with the other exemplary embodiments and each described feature is individually and separately claimable.

The above-described system can be implemented on wired and/or wireless telecommunications devices, such as a modem, a multicarrier modem, a DSL modem, an ADSL modem, an xDSL modem, a VDSL modem, a linecard, test

US 10,044,473 B2

23

equipment, a multicarrier transceiver, a wired and/or wireless wide/local area network system, a satellite communication system, network-based communication systems, such as an IP, Ethernet or ATM system, a modem equipped with diagnostic capabilities, or the like, or on a separate programmed general purpose computer having a communications device or in conjunction with any of the following communications protocols: CDSL, ADSL2, ADSL2+, VDSL1, VDSL2, HDSL, DSL Lite, IDSL, RADSL, SDSL, UDSL or the like.

Additionally, the systems, methods and protocols of this invention can be implemented on a special purpose computer, a programmed microprocessor or microcontroller and peripheral integrated circuit element(s), an ASIC or other integrated circuit, a digital signal processor, a hard-wired electronic or logic circuit such as discrete element circuit, a programmable logic device such as PLD, PLA, FPGA, PAL, a modem, a transmitter/receiver, any comparable means, or the like. In general, any device capable of implementing a state machine that is in turn capable of implementing the methodology illustrated herein can be used to implement the various communication methods, protocols and techniques according to this invention.

Furthermore, the disclosed methods may be readily implemented in software using object or object-oriented software development environments that provide portable source code that can be used on a variety of computer or workstation platforms. Alternatively, the disclosed system may be implemented partially or fully in hardware using standard logic circuits or VLSI design. Whether software or hardware is used to implement the systems in accordance with this invention is dependent on the speed and/or efficiency requirements of the system, the particular function, and the particular software or hardware systems or microprocessor or microcomputer systems being utilized. The communication systems, methods and protocols illustrated herein can be readily implemented in hardware and/or software using any known or later developed systems or structures, devices and/or software by those of ordinary skill in the applicable art from the functional description provided herein and with a general basic knowledge of the computer and telecommunications arts.

Moreover, the disclosed methods may be readily implemented in software that can be stored on a storage medium, executed on programmed general-purpose computer with the cooperation of a controller and memory, a special purpose computer, a microprocessor, or the like. In these instances, the systems and methods of this invention can be implemented as program embedded on personal computer such as an applet, JAVA® or CGI script, as a resource residing on a server or computer workstation, as a routine embedded in a dedicated communication system or system component, or the like. The system can also be implemented by physically incorporating the system and/or method into a software and/or hardware system, such as the hardware and software systems of a communications transceiver.

It is therefore apparent that there has been provided, in accordance with the present invention, systems and methods for packet retransmission and memory sharing. While this invention has been described in conjunction with a number of embodiments, it is evident that many alternatives, modifications and variations would be or are apparent to those of ordinary skill in the applicable arts. Accordingly, it is intended to embrace all such alternatives, modifications, equivalents and variations that are within the spirit and scope of this invention.

24

The invention claimed is:

1. An apparatus comprising:

a multicarrier transceiver including a processor and memory operable to reduce a packet error rate by: transmitting, by the transceiver, a packet using a forward error correction encoder and an interleaver, wherein the packet comprises a header field and a plurality of bytes, and wherein the header field comprises a sequence identifier (SID); and

receiving, by the transceiver, at least one message using a forward error correction decoder and without using a deinterleaver, wherein the at least one message is received in a single discrete multitone (DMT) symbol and wherein the at least one message includes an acknowledgement (ACK) or a negative acknowledgement (NACK) of the transmitted packet,

wherein an SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than an SNR margin of the packet; and

reducing the packet error rate by retransmitting, by the transceiver and when there is the negative acknowledgement (NACK) in the at least one message, the packet.

2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than 6 dB.

3. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the transceiver is operable to retransmit the packet using the forward error correction encoder and the interleaver.

4. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the at least one message indicates at least one count value associated with the packet.

5. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the apparatus is a linecard that is capable of transporting video, voice and data.

6. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the apparatus is a customer premises equipment that is capable of transporting video, voice and data.

7. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the packet is an IP packet or an Ethernet packet or an Asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) cell or a Packet Transfer Mode (PTM) packet or a Packet Transfer Mode-Transmission Convergence (PTM-TC) codeword.

8. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the packet comprises a plurality of codewords Reed-Solomon codewords or a plurality of asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) cells.

9. An apparatus comprising:

a multicarrier transceiver including a processor and memory operable to reduce a packet error rate by:

receiving, by the transceiver, a packet using a forward error correction decoder and a deinterleaver, wherein the packet comprises a header field and a plurality of bytes, and wherein the header field comprises a sequence identifier (SID); and

transmitting, by the transceiver, at least one messages using a forward error correction encoder and without using an interleaver, wherein the at least one message is transmitted in a single discrete multitone (DMT) symbol, and

wherein at least one message of the plurality of messages includes an acknowledgement (ACK) or a negative acknowledgement (NACK) of the received packet, and wherein an SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than an SNR margin of the packet; and

reducing the packet error rate by retransmitting, by the transceiver and when there is the negative acknowledgement (NACK) in the at least one message, the packet.

10. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than 6 dB.

US 10,044,473 B2

25

11. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the transceiver is operable to receive a retransmitted packet using the forward error correction decoder and the deinterleaver.

12. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the at least one message indicates at least one count value associated with the packet.

13. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the apparatus is a linecard that is capable of transporting video, voice and data.

14. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the apparatus is a customer premises equipment that is capable of transporting video, voice and data.

15. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the packet is an IP packet or an Ethernet packet or an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) cell or a Packet Transfer Mode (PTM) packet or a Packet Transfer Mode-Transmission Convergence (PTM-TC) codeword.

16. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the packet comprises a plurality of codewords Reed-Solomon codewords or a plurality of Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) cells.

17. A method to reduce a packet error rate in a multicarrier transceiver comprising:

transmitting, by the transceiver, a packet using a forward error correction encoder and an interleaver, wherein the packet comprises a header field and a plurality of bytes, and wherein the header field comprises a sequence identifier (SID); and

receiving, by the transceiver, at least one message using a forward error correction decoder and without using a deinterleaver, wherein the at least one message is received in a single discrete multitone (DMT) symbol and wherein the at least one message includes an acknowledgement (ACK) or a negative acknowledgement (NACK) of the transmitted packet,

wherein an SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than an SNR margin of the packet; and reducing the packet error rate by retransmitting, by the transceiver and when there is the negative acknowledgement (NACK) in the at least one message, the packet.

18. The method of claim 17, wherein the SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than 6 dB.

19. The method of claim 17, further comprising retransmitting the packet using the forward error correction encoder and the interleaver.

20. The method of claim 17, wherein the at least one message indicates at least one count value associated with the packet.

26

21. The method of claim 17, wherein the packet is an IP packet or an Ethernet packet or an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) cell or a Packet Transfer Mode (PTM) packet or a Packet Transfer Mode-Transmission Convergence (PTM-TC) codeword.

22. The method of claim 17, wherein the packet comprises a plurality of codewords Reed-Solomon codewords or a plurality of Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) cells.

23. A method to reduce a packet error rate in a multicarrier transceiver comprising:

receiving, by the transceiver, a packet using a forward error correction decoder and a deinterleaver, wherein the packet comprises a header field and a plurality of bytes, and wherein the header field comprises a sequence identifier (SID); and

transmitting, by the transceiver, at least one messages using a forward error correction encoder and without using an interleaver, wherein the at least one message is transmitted in a single discrete multitone (DMT) symbol and wherein at least one message of the plurality of messages includes an acknowledgement (ACK) or a negative acknowledgement (NACK) of the received packet,

wherein an SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than an SNR margin of the packet; and

reducing the packet error rate by retransmitting, by the transceiver and when there is the negative acknowledgement (NACK) in the at least one message, the packet.

24. The method of claim 23, wherein the SNR margin of the at least one message is greater than 6 dB.

25. The method of claim 23, further comprising receiving a retransmitted packet using the forward error correction decoder and the deinterleaver.

26. The method of claim 23, wherein the at least one message indicates at least one count value associated with the packet.

27. The method of claim 23, wherein the packet is an IP packet or an Ethernet packet or an Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) cell or a Packet Transfer Mode (PTM) packet or a Packet Transfer Mode-Transmission Convergence (PTM-TC) codeword.

28. The method of claim 23, wherein the packet comprises a plurality of codewords Reed-Solomon codewords or a plurality of Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) cells.

* * * * *